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#### Abstract

In this work, we introduce a generalized class of concave meromorphic functions denoted as $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$ defined by Salagean differential operator $\mathcal{D}^{n}$, which is an operator defined on the concave meromorphic function $g(z), \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)=$ $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n-1} g(z)\right),\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\}$, and study some of the properties namely; inclusion, integral representation, closure under an integral operator, sufficient condition, coefficient inequality, growth and distortion of this class.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $g$ be define as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

meromorphic function with a simple pole at the origin, the unit disk be denoted by $\{\mathbb{U}=|z|<1\}$ and concave domain which is the exterior of a closed convex domain be denoted by $\mathbb{E}$. We say the function $g$ of the form (1), is concave if it maps the $\mathbb{U}$ to $\mathbb{E}$, denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{0}$ satisfying the following inequality as define below:

Definition 1.1. [16] The function $g(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_{o}$ if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+z \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)<0, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more details of concave univalent functions and the types, (see\{[1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [7] [19]\}).
The integral representation of the functions in the class $\mathcal{K}_{0}$ was first considered in $[15,16]$ as stated below:
Theorem 1.1. [15] The function $g(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ belong to the class function $\mathcal{K}_{o}$ if and only if there exists a positive measure $\mu(t)$ and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d \mu(t)=1$ and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i t} d \mu(t)=0$, such that for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{1}{z} \exp \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 2 \log \left(1-e^{i t} z\right) d \mu(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Theorem 1.2. [16] The function $g=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ be belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_{o}$ if there exists a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}, \text { with } \varphi(0)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holomorphic in $\mathbb{U}$, such that for $z \in \mathbb{U}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{1}{z} \exp \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 2 \log \left(1-e^{i t} z\right) d \mu(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, for any holomorphic function $\varphi: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $\varphi(0)=0$, there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{K}_{o}$.
In $[13,14,16]$, the inequality (2) was shown to be the necessary and sufficient condition of the concave meromorphic function of the form (1) and also deduced the coefficient inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+3\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by applying an invariant form of Schwarz's lemma involving with Schwarzian derivative and in [4], Al-Kaseasbeh, estimated $a_{k}$ for $k=2,3, \cdots$ for the function $g(z)$ satisfying inequality (2).
Using the Salagean differential operator denoted as $\mathcal{D}^{n}$ is defined as $\mathcal{D}^{0} g(z)=g(z), \mathcal{D}^{1} g(z)=z g^{\prime}(z)$, $\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)=D\left(D^{n-1} g(z)\right),\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\}$ and its integral operator define as $\mathcal{I}^{0} g(z)=g(z), \mathcal{I}^{1} g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{g(t)}{t} d t$, $\mathcal{I}^{n} g(z)=\mathcal{I}\left(\mathcal{I}^{n-1} g(z)\right),\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\}$, both appeared in [17].
The new generalized class of concave meromorphic functions is define as follows:
Definition 1.2. The function $g: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}, g(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ belong to the class of concave meromorphic univalent function of order $\zeta$, denoted as $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \zeta<1$, if and only if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)<-\zeta, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the class $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)>\zeta, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our focus in this work is to study the concave meromorphic function using the Salagean derivative denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$ and establish some of it geometric properties.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. [5] Let $\mathcal{P}$ be holomorphic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\mathcal{P}(0)=1$ and suppose that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}\right)>\frac{3 \zeta-1}{2 \zeta}, \in \mathbb{U}
$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}(z)>2^{1-1 / \zeta}, 1 / 2 \leq \zeta<1, z \in \mathbb{U}$ and the constant $2^{1-1 / \zeta}$ is the best possible.
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Lemma 2.2. [9, 11] Let $\mathcal{P}(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}, \mathcal{P}(0)=1$ and suppose that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathcal{P}(z)-\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}\right\}>\zeta,(z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \zeta<1)
$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}(z)>\zeta$ in $\mathbb{U}$.
Lemma 2.3. [10] Let $\mathcal{P}(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\{\zeta: 0 \leq \zeta<1\}$ be a positive real number. Suppose that $\{r: 0<r<1\}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{|z| \leq r} \operatorname{Re}\{\mathcal{P}(z)\}=\min _{|z| \leq r}|\mathcal{P}(z)|  \tag{9}\\
& \operatorname{Re} \frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}>\zeta-1, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<\zeta \leq 1 / 2$,
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}>\zeta / 2-1, z \in U \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 / 2<\zeta<1$,
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{\mathcal{P}(z)\}>\zeta, z \in U \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. [18] Let $\mathcal{P}(z)$ be regular and satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}(z)>\zeta, 0 \leq \zeta<1$ in $|z|<1$ and let $\mathcal{P}(0)=1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}(z)=\frac{1+(2 \zeta-1) z \phi(z)}{1+z \phi(z)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z)$ is any regular function in $|z|<1$, satisfying $|\phi(z)|<1$ in $|z|<1$ and any function $\mathcal{P}(z)$ given by the above formula is regular and satisfies $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}(z)>\zeta$ in $|z|<1$.

Lemma 2.5. [8, 15] Let $w(z)$ be non-constant regular in $\{z:|z|<1\} w(0)=0$. If $w(z)$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at $z_{0}$, we have $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right)$, where $k$ is a real number, $k \geq 1$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \zeta<1$. Then $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n+1}(\zeta) \subset \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$.
Proof. The function $g(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, if $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\zeta)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\mathcal{P}(z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{2}}-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Divide through by $\mathcal{P}(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the relation $z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)$ and $z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)$, then (17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}=\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}+\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}=-\mathcal{P}(z)+\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}  \tag{20}\\
& -\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}=\mathcal{P}(z)-\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathcal{P}(z)-\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}\right\}>\zeta
$$

by Lemma 2.2. Then $-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)>\zeta$ and we have the inclusion.
Theorem 3.2. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, and satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)>\frac{\zeta-1}{2 \zeta}
$$

Then $-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)>2^{1-1 / \zeta}, 1 / 2 \leq \zeta<1, z \in \mathbb{U}$.
Proof. The function $g(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, if $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\zeta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\mathcal{P}(z) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)  \tag{23}\\
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{2}}-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z) \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the fact that $z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)$ and $z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)$, then (25) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the condition of the theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}+1\right)>\frac{3 \zeta-1}{2 \zeta} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)>\frac{\zeta-1}{2 \zeta} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1, $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}(z)>2^{1 / \zeta-1}, 1 / 2 \leq \zeta<1$, which concludes the result.
Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta), n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \zeta<1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\mathcal{I}_{n}\left\{\frac{1}{z} \exp \left\{(2-2 \zeta) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\phi(t)}{1+t \phi(t)} d t\right\}\right\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z)$ is regular in $|z|<1$ with $|\phi(z)|<1$.
Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, then by Lemma 2.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=-\frac{1+(2 \zeta-1) z \phi(z)}{1+z \phi(z)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the relation $z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=-\frac{1+(2 \zeta-1) z \phi(z)}{1+z \phi(z)}  \tag{31}\\
& \frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}+1=\frac{(2-2 \zeta) z \phi(z)}{1+z \phi(z)}  \tag{32}\\
& \frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}+\frac{1}{z}=\frac{(2-2 \zeta) \phi(z)}{1+z \phi(z)} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

We can have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d z}\left(\log z \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)=\frac{(2-2 \zeta) \phi(z)}{1+z \phi(z)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)=\frac{1}{z} \exp \left\{(2-2 \zeta) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\phi(t)}{1+t \phi(t)}\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (29) can easily be obtained from (35).
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Corollary 3.1. . If $n=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left\{\frac{1}{s^{2}}\left\{\exp \left\{(2-2 \zeta) \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\phi(t)}{1+t \phi(t)} d t\right\}\right\}\right\} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.2. [1]. If $n=1, \zeta=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left\{\frac{1}{s^{2}}\left\{\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{s} \frac{2 \phi(t)}{1+t \phi(t)} d t\right\}\right\}\right\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{c}{z^{c+1}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c} g(t) d t \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $G(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}<\frac{1-\zeta}{2 c+2-2 \zeta}-\zeta \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \zeta<1$ and $c>0$. Then $G(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=-\mathcal{P}(z) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (38), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
z^{c+1} G(z)=c \int_{0}^{z} t^{c} g(t) d t  \tag{41}\\
(c+1) z^{c} G(z)+z^{c+1} G^{\prime}(z)=c z^{c} g(z)  \tag{42}\\
(c+1) G(z)+z G^{\prime}(z)=c g(z)  \tag{43}\\
(c+1) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)+z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)\right)^{\prime}=c \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)  \tag{44}\\
(c+1) \mathcal{D}^{n+1} G(z)+z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+1} G(z)\right)^{\prime}=c \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)  \tag{45}\\
c \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)=-(c+1)\left[\mathcal{P}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)\right]-z\left[\mathcal{P}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)\right]^{\prime}  \tag{46}\\
c \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)=-(c+1)\left[\mathcal{P}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)\right]-z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)-\mathcal{P}(z) z\left[\mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)\right]^{\prime}  \tag{47}\\
c \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)=-(c+1)\left[\mathcal{P}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)\right]-z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)+\mathcal{P}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n+1} G(z)  \tag{48}\\
c \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)=-\left[(c+1) \mathcal{P}(z) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)-z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)+\mathcal{P}^{2}(z)\right] \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z) . \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{gather*}
c \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)=(c+1) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)+z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)\right)^{\prime}  \tag{50}\\
c \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)=(c+1) \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)+\mathcal{D}^{n+1} G(z)  \tag{51}\\
c \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)=[(c+1)-\mathcal{P}(z)] D^{n} G(z) \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$
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$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{c \mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{c \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\frac{-\left[(c+1) \mathcal{P}(z)-z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)+\mathcal{P}^{2}(z)\right] \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)}{[(c+1)-\mathcal{P}(z)] \mathcal{D}^{n} G(z)}  \tag{53}\\
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\frac{-[(c+1)-\mathcal{P}(z)] \mathcal{P}(z)-z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{[(c+1)-\mathcal{P}(z)]}  \tag{54}\\
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=-\mathcal{P}(z)-\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{[(c+1)-\mathcal{P}(z)]} \tag{55}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Lemma (2.4), let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+(2 \zeta-1) w(z)}{1+w(z)} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(z)=z \phi(z), w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=-\left\{\frac{1+(2 \zeta-1) w(z)}{1+w(z)}+\frac{(2 \zeta-2) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))[c+(2+c-2 \zeta)] w(z)}\right\} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.5 , there exits $k \geq 1$ such that $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=-\left\{\frac{1+(2 \zeta-1) w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{(2 \zeta-2) k w\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(1+w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)[c+(2+c-2 \zeta)] w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)} \geq \frac{1-\zeta}{2 c+2-2 \zeta}-\zeta>0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction for $|w(z)|<1$, then $G(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$.
Corollary 3.3. If $n=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e\left(1+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)<\frac{1-\zeta}{2 c+2-2 \zeta}-\zeta \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.4. If $n=1, \zeta=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e\left(1+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)<\frac{1}{2+2 c} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.5. [12]. If $n=1, \zeta=0$ and $c=1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e\left(1+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)<\frac{1}{4} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \zeta<1$. The class $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, is a convex family of concave meromorphic univalent functions.
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Proof. Let $g(z)$ and $k(z)$ be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$. For $t \in(0,1)$, it suffices to show that the function $h(z)=(1-t) g(z)+t k(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} h(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} h(z)}=\frac{(1-t)\left[\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n+1} a_{k} z^{k}\right]+t\left[\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n+1} b_{k} z^{k}\right]}{(1-t)\left[\frac{(-1)^{n}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k}\right]+t\left[\frac{(-1)^{n}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n} b_{k} z^{k}\right]}  \tag{63}\\
-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} h(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} h(z)}=\frac{\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n+1}\left[(1-t) a_{k}+t b_{k} z^{k}\right] z^{k}}{\frac{(-1)^{n}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n}\left[(1-t) a_{k}+t b_{k}\right] z^{k}} \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $g(z), k(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$. This implies that $h(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-t) a_{k}+t b_{k}\right] z^{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} h(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} h(z)}>\zeta \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \zeta<1$. Suppose that $g(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{|z| \leq r} \operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right\}=\min _{|z| \leq r}\left|-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right| \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $(0<r<1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}<\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}-\zeta+1 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\zeta \leq 1 / 2$.
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} f(z)}<\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} f(z)}-\frac{\zeta}{2}+1, z \in U \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 / 2<\zeta<1$.
Then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}=\mathcal{P}(z) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{P}(z)}=-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+2} f(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}+\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, condition (67) and (68), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)>\zeta \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Theorem 3.7. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g(z)$ be of the form (1). For $0 \leq \zeta<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n}(\zeta+k)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1-\zeta \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only $f \in \mathcal{K}^{n}(\zeta)$.
Proof. Suppose that the condition (72) holds for $(0 \leq \zeta<1)$, it is sufficient to show that $|1-\zeta+\alpha| \leq|1+\beta-\alpha|$ where $\operatorname{Re}(-\alpha) \geq \zeta$ which implies that

$$
\left|1-\zeta+\left(-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)\right| \leq\left|1+\zeta-\left(-\frac{\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)\right|
$$

From the relation that $z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}^{n+1} g(z)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|1-\zeta+\left(-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)\right| \leq\left|1+\zeta-\left(-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)\right| \\
\left|1-\zeta+\left(-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)\right|-\left|1+\zeta-\left(-\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)}\right)\right| \leq 0 \\
\left|(1-\zeta) \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)+\left(-z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right|-\mid(1+\zeta) \mathcal{D}^{n} g(z)-\left(-z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \mid \leq 0\right. \\
=\left|(1-\zeta)\left(\frac{(-1)^{n}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k}\right)+\frac{(-1)^{n}}{z}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n+1} a_{k} z^{k}\right| \\
-\left|(1+\zeta)\left(\frac{(-1)^{n}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k}\right)-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n+1} a_{k} z^{k}\right| \\
\leq(2-\zeta)|-1|^{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-\zeta) k^{n}-k^{n+1}\right]\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+1}-\zeta|-1|^{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1+\zeta) k^{n}+k^{n+1}\right]\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+1} \\
\begin{aligned}
\leq(2
\end{aligned} \\
\quad=2\left[(1-\zeta)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(k^{n}(\zeta+k)\right)\right]\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the last inequality, we obtain the condition (72) of the theorem.
Corollary 3.6. Let $g \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)}, k \geq 1 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality is obtained for

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Theorem 3.8. Let the function of the form (1) be in $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, then for $0<|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r \leq|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}}-\frac{k(1-\zeta)}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r^{k-1} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{k(1-\zeta)}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r^{k-1} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality in (75) and (76) are obtained for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{K}_{0}^{n}(\zeta)$, then from (72), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<|z|=r<1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
|f(z)| \leq\left|\frac{1}{z}\right|+\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\right| \\
\quad \leq \frac{1}{r}+r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| \\
\quad \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|f(z)| \geq\left|\frac{1}{z}\right|-\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\right| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{r}-r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1-\zeta}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{|z|^{2}}+\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k} z^{k-1}\right| \\
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{r^{2}}+r^{k-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right| \\
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{k(1-\zeta)}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r^{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$
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and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{|z|^{2}}-\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k} z^{k-1}\right| \\
& \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{r^{2}}-r^{k-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right| \\
& \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{r^{2}}-\frac{k(1-\zeta)}{k^{n}(\zeta+k)} r^{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Conclusion

In this work we determine the properties which includes inclusion, integral representation, closure under an integral operator, sufficient condition, coefficient inequality, growth and distortion.
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