



Submaximality under $mI\alpha g$ -closed sets in ideal minimal spaces

M.Parimala^{1*} and D.Arivuoli²

¹Department of Mathematics, Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, Sathyamangalam, Tamilnadu, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

Received: 26 Apr 2019

• Accepted: 10 Jun 2019

• Published Online: 01 Aug 2019

Abstract: This article dealt a new submaximal space called $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space in ideal minimal space. Significant properties of $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space are studied. Equivalent conditions concerned with $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space and $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed sets, m^* -codense sets, pre- m -I-open sets are also established

Key words: $mI\alpha g$ -closed sets, $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed sets, $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal spaces

1. Introduction

Submaximality in general topological spaces was introduced by Hewit [7]. He defined a general way of constructing maximal topologies. A systematized approach on submaximality in topological spaces is followed by Arhangel'skii et.al [1]. Necessary and sufficient conditions of submaximality are also proved by them. They have also proved that the submaximal space is left separated in a topological space. The concept, ideal in topological spaces was studied by Kuratowski [9] and Vaidyanathaswamy [16]. Several properties of ideal topological spaces were discussed by Jankovic et.al [8]. Properties of I-submaximal spaces was studied by Erdal Ekici et.al [6]. I g -submaximal spaces was introduced by Bhavani et.al [3]. The concept of minimal structure and minimal spaces were introduced and studied by maki et.al [10]. Quite recently [11] Ozbakir et.al studied the impact of ideals in minimal spaces and termed as ideal minimal spaces. They have initiated A_m^* , called the minimal local function in ideal minimal spaces. α -generalised closed sets (briefly $mI\alpha g$ -closed sets) in ideal minimal spaces was introduced and some significant properties were studied by Parimala et.al [2]. The concept Locally closed, on $mI\alpha g$ closed sets (briefly $mI\alpha g$ locally m^* -closed sets) was defined by Parimala et.al [12] In this paper we have proved some equivalent conditions of $mI\alpha g$ locally m^* -closed sets. Further we have defined $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal spaces in ideal minimal spaces and discussed significant properties of and $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal spaces. Also we have proved several equivalent conditions on $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [9] Let X be a set and is non empty. Let I be the collection of subsets of X which is also non empty. I is referred as an ideal if it satisfies the conditions. Let A, B be any two subsets of I such that (i) $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$ implies $B \in I$ (ii) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$.

Definition 2.2. [10] Consider a set X and M represents the set of all possible subsets of X . M is termed as the minimal structure if ϕ and X should be the members of M . The minimal spaces we mean the set X with the minimal structure M say (X, M) . The elements of M are referred as m -open sets and their complements

are called m -closed sets. The interior and closure of m -open sets are denoted by $m\text{-int}$ and $m\text{-cl}$ respectively and are defined as $m\text{-int}(A) = \cup\{U : U \subset A, U \in M\}$, $m\text{-cl}(A) = \cap\{F : A \subset F, X - F \in M\}$.

Remark 2.3.[11] The minimal space (X, M) is said to exhibit the property $[U]$ if the union of any number of m -open sets is a m -open set and the property $[I]$ if the intersection of finite number of m -open sets is a m -open set.

Definition 2.4. [11] Let $P(X)$ denotes the power set of (X, M, I) . The mapping $(\cdot)_m^* : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ leads the definition of the minimal local function A_m^* as $\{x \in X : U_m \cap A \notin I\}$ for all $U_m \in U_m(x)$.

Theorem 2.5. [11] In a minimal space (X, M) , let I_1, I_2 be two ideals on X . K_1 and K_2 be subsets of X . Then

- (a) $K_1 \subset K_2 \Rightarrow K_1 m^* \subset K_2 m^*$.
- (b) $K_1 m^* \cup K_2 m^* \subset (K_1 \cup K_2)_m^*$.
- (c) $(K_m^*)_m \subset K_m^*$.
- (d) $K_m^* = m\text{-cl}(K_m^*) \subset m\text{-cl}(K)$.
- (e) $I_1 \subset I_2 \Rightarrow K_m^*(I_2) \subset K_m^*(I_1)$.

Remark 2.6. [11] If the ideal minimal space (X, M) includes the property $[I]$, then (b) of Theorem 2.5 satisfies the equality. That is $K_1 m^* \cup K_2 m^* = (K_1 \cup K_2)_m^*$.

Definition 2.7. [11] The minimal $*$ -closure operator $m\text{-cl}^*$ on a subset A of (X, M, I) is defined as the union of A and A_m^* . That is $m\text{-cl}^*(A) = A \cup A_m^*$. The minimal structure on $m\text{-cl}^*$ is termed as $M^*(I, M)$ which is defined as $M^*(I, M) = \{F \subset X : m\text{-cl}^*(X - F) = X - F\}$. The members of M^* are named as m^* -open sets. The interior of m^* -open sets is denoted by $m\text{-int}^*(A)$.

Proposition 2.8.[11] Salient features of the minimal $*$ -closure operator $m\text{-cl}^*$ are listed below. Let $K, K_1, K_2 \subset X$

- (a) $m\text{-cl}^*(K_1) \cup m\text{-cl}^*(K_2) \subset m\text{-cl}^*(K_1 \cup K_2)$.
- (b) If $K_1 \subset K_2$, then $m\text{-cl}^*(K_1) \subset m\text{-cl}^*(K_2)$.
- (c) $K \subset m\text{-cl}^*(K)$.
- (d) $m\text{-cl}^*(\phi) = \phi$ and $m\text{-cl}^*(X) = X$.

Remark 2.9. [11] When the ideal minimal space (X, M, I) includes the property $[I]$ then equality holds in (a) of Theorem 2.8. That is $m\text{-cl}^*(K_1 \cup K_2) = m\text{-cl}^*(K_1) \cup m\text{-cl}^*(K_2)$ and also $m\text{-cl}^*(m\text{-cl}^*(K)) = m\text{-cl}^*(K)$ for the subset K .

Definition 2.10. [11] In an ideal minimal space (X, M, I) , a subset A is termed as m^* -dense in itself set if A is a subset of A_m^* (briefly $A \subset A_m^*$).

Lemma 2.11.[11] If a subset A seems to be a m^* dense in itself set in an ideal minimal space, then the following equality holds. $A_m^* = m\text{-cl}(A_m^*) = m\text{-cl}(A) = m\text{-cl}^*(A)$.

Definition 2.12 [4] A subset A of X is defined as a m^* -dense set if $m\text{-cl}^*(A) = X$.

Definition 2.13. [2] Let A be non empty subset of X , then A is defined to be a $mI\alpha$ g-closed set if $A_m^* \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$, U is a α m-open set.

Lemma 2.14. [2] If a set A is a m^* -closed set, then it is be a $mI\alpha$ g-closed set.

Definition 2.15. Consider a subset A of X , then A is defined to be a

- (1) I-locally m^* -closed set [14] if A equals the intersection of a m -open set U and a m^* -closed set F . That is $A = U \cap F$.
- (2) $mI\alpha$ g-locally m^* -closed set [12] if there exists a $mI\alpha$ g-open set U and a m^* -closed set F such that $A = U \cap F$.
- (3) pre- mI -open set [13] if $A \subset m-int(m-cl^*(A))$.

Lemma 2.16. [4] m^* -dense sets are pre- mI -open sets.

Definition 2.17. [4] A subset A of a minimal space X is termed to be

- (a) m - I -dense if $A_m^* = X$.
- (b) m^* -codense set if $(X - A)$ is a m^* -dense set.

Definition 2.18. The ideal minimal space (X, M, I) is defined to be a

- (a) m - T_1 -space [15] if there exists two elements x, y of X such that $x \cap y = \phi$, there exists a m -open set P that contains x , but not y and another m -open set Q that contains y , but not x .
- (b) m - I -submaximal space [5] if every m^* -dense subset of X is a m -open set.

3. $mI\alpha$ g-locally m^* -closed set

Some Characterisations of $mI\alpha$ g-locally m^* -closed set are as follows.

Theorem 3.1. The necessary and sufficient conditions of a subset A to be a $mI\alpha$ g-closed set is $m-cl^*(A) \subseteq U$, $A \subset U$ where U is a m -open set.

Proof. Necessity. Consider a $mI\alpha$ g-closed set A and a m -open set U . Since $m-cl^*(A) = A \cup A_m^*$. Also we have $A \subseteq U$, $A_m^* \subset U$ and U is a m -open set. Therefore $m-cl^*(A) \subseteq U$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $m-cl^*(A) \subseteq U$, $A \subseteq U$ and U is a m -open set. Since $m-cl^*(A) = A \cup A_m^* \subseteq U$, we get $A, A_m^* \subseteq U$, U is m -open. Since every m -open set is a α m-opens set, $A_m^* \subseteq U$, $A \subseteq U$, U is a α m-open set. Therefore, A is a $mI\alpha$ g-closed set.

Theorem 3.2. A m -closed set is always a $mI\alpha$ g closed set, equivalently (A m -open set is a $mI\alpha$ g-open set.)

Proof. Consider a α m open set U and let $A \subseteq U$ be a m -closed set in X , then $m-cl(A) = A$, which implies $m-cl(A) \subseteq U$. It is clear that $m-cl^*(A) \subseteq m-cl(A) \subset U$. Since $m-cl^*(A) = A \cup A_m^*$ and $A \subseteq U$ we get $A_m^* \subseteq U$, where U is a α m-open set. Hence A is a $mI\alpha$ g closed set.

Theorem 3.3. If a subset A of (X, M, I) is a I-locally m^* -closed set, then it is a $mI\alpha$ g-locally m^* -closed set and the converse of this theorem may not be true explained in Example 3.4.

Proof. Referring Theorem 3.2, the proof follows from the definition of I-locally m^* -closed set.

Example 3.4. (X, M, I) be a ideal minimal space with $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $M = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$. In this example $mI\alpha$ g-locally m^* -closed sets are the elements of the power set of X , but the $\{b\}$ is not a locally m^* -closed set.

Theorem 3.5. Consider the ideal minimal space (X, M, I) and $A \subset X$ is m^* -dense in itself then statements below given are equivalent.

- (a) A is an $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set.
- (b) A equals the intersection of a $mI\alpha g$ -open set U and $m-cl^*(A)$. That is $A = U \cap m-cl^*(A)$ for a $mI\alpha g$ -open set U .
- (c) $m-cl^*(A) - A$ and $A_m^* - A$ are equal and also $mI\alpha g$ closed sets.
- (d) $A \cup (X - m-cl^*(A))$ and $A \cup (X - A_m^*)$ are equal and also $mI\alpha g$ -open sets.
- (e) $A \subset m-int(A \cup (X - A_m^*))$

Proof. (a) \implies (b). If A is an $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set, then $A = U \cap F$ where U is $mI\alpha g$ -open and F is m^* -closed, that is $F_m^* \subset F$. Since $A = U \cap F$, we have $A \subset U$ and $A \subset m-cl^*(A)$. Therefore $A \subset U \cap m-cl^*(A)$. Since F is m^* -closed and $A \subset F$, $m-cl^*(A) \subset m-cl^*(F)$, which implies $U \cap m-cl^*(A) \subset U \cap m-cl^*(F) \subset U \cap F = A$. Then $A = U \cap m-cl^*(A)$.

(b) \implies (c). Consider $m-cl^*(A) - A = A_m^* - A = A_m^* \cap (X - A) = A_m^* \cap (X - (U \cap m-cl^*(A))) = A_m^* \cap (X - U)$. Let V be a m -open set such that $m-cl^*(A) - A \subset V$. Then $A_m^* \cap (X - U) \subset V$, which implies $(X - U) \subset ((X - A_m^*) \cup V)$. Since U is $mI\alpha g$ -open set $(X - U)$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -closed set. Therefore by Theorem 3.1, we get $m-cl^*(X - U) \subset ((X - A_m^*) \cup U)$. Also $A_m^* \cap m-cl^*(X - U) \subset V$. Consider $A_m^* \cap (X - U) \subset A_m^*$ and $A_m^* \cap (X - U) \subset (X - U)$. By Theorem 2.5(a) we get $(A_m^* \cap (X - U))_m^* \subset (A_m^*)_m^* \subset A_m^*$ and $(A_m^* \cap (X - U))_m^* \subset (X - U)_m^* \subset m-cl^*(X - U)$. Therefore $(A_m^* \cap (X - U))_m^* \subset A_m^* \cap m-cl^*(X - U) \subset V$. Also $m-cl^*(A) - A = A_m^* \cap (X - U)$. Therefore we get $(m-cl^*(A) - A)_m^* \subset A_m^* \cap m-cl^*(X - U) \subset V$. That is $(m-cl^*(A) - A)_m^* \subset V$ and V is a m -open set and hence V is a αm -open set. Finally we have proved that $(m-cl^*(A) - A)_m^* \subset V$ whenever $(m-cl^*(A) - A) \subset V$, V is a αm -open set, which implies $(m-cl^*(A) - A) = A_m^* - A$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -closed set.

(c) \implies (d) Since $m-cl^*(A) - A$ is $mI\alpha g$ -closed, $(X - (m-cl^*(A) - A))$ is $mI\alpha g$ -open, which implies $A \cup (X - m-cl^*(A)) \Rightarrow A \cup (X - (A \cup A_m^*))$ is $mI\alpha g$ -open and hence $A \cup (X - A_m^*)$ is $mI\alpha g$ -open.

(d) \implies (e) Since $A \subset (A \cup (X - A_m^*))$, $m-int A \subset m-int(A \cup (X - A_m^*))$. Therefore $A \subset m-int(A) \subset m-int(A \cup (X - A_m^*))$ and hence $A \subset m-int(A \cup (X - A_m^*))$.

(e) \implies (a) By (e) we can say $A \cup (X - A_m^*) \subset m-int(A \cup (X - A_m^*))$. By (d) $A \cup (X - m-cl^*(A)) = A \cup (X - A_m^*)$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set. Also $A \cup (X - m-cl^*(A)) \cap m-cl^*(A) = (A \cap m-cl^*(A)) \cup ((X - m-cl^*(A)) \cap m-cl^*(A)) = (A \cap (A \cup A_m^*)) \cup \phi = A \cup (A \cap A_m^*) \cup \phi = A$ since A is a m^* dense set. Also since A is a m^* dense set, $A \subset A_m^*$. Therefore $m-cl^*(A) = A_m^*$. Hence $(m-cl^*(A))_m^* = (A_m^*)_m^* = A_m^* = m-cl^*(A)$. That is $(m-cl^*(A))_m^* \subset m-cl^*(A)$. Therefore $m-cl^*(A)$ is a m^* -closed set. That is $A = (A \cup (X - A_m^*) \cap m-cl^*(A))$ such that $A = (A \cup (X - A_m^*))$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set and $m-cl^*(A)$ is a m^* -closed set. Therefore A is $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set.

Theorem 3.6. If G is a m -open subset of the ideal minimal space (X, M, I) , then G is $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set, but the converse need not be true.

Proof. Lemma 2.14 and Theorem 3.2 proves the Theorem.

Example 3.7. Let the ideal minimal space with $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $M = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$. In this example $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed sets are the elements of the power set of X , but the $\{b\}$ is not a m -open set.

Theorem 3.8. A $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set which is also a m - I -dense set, is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

Proof. Referring Theorem 3.5(d) if a set A is a $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set then $A \cup (X - m-cl^*(A))$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set. By the definition of m - I -dense set we get $A_m^* = X$. Therefore $m-cl^*(A) = A \cup A_m^* = X$, which implies $A \cup (X - X) = A$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

Corollary 3.9. Let A be an m - I -dense subset of X , then A is a $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set if and only if A is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

Theorem 3.10. Let (X, M, I) be an ideal minimal space satisfying property $[I]$, then we can prove the equivalent statements on $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed sets.

- (a) Every subset of X is a $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set.
- (b) Every m^* -dense set a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

Proof. (a) \implies (b) The proof follows from Theorem 3.5(d).

(b) \implies (a) Consider a subset K of X . Let $S = K \cup (X - m-cl^*(K))$. Then $m-cl^*(S) = m-cl^*(K \cup (X - m-cl^*(K))) = (K \cup (X - m-cl^*(K))) \cup (K \cup (X - m-cl^*(K)))_m^* = (K \cup (X - m-cl^*(K))) \cup K_m^* \cup (X - m-cl^*(K))_m^* = m-cl^*(K) \cup m-cl^*(X - m-cl^*(K)) = m-cl^*(K) \cup (X - m-cl^*(K)) = X$. That is we have proved $m-cl^*(S) = X$. Therefore by Definition 2.12 S is a m^* -dense set. By (b) S is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set. Therefore by Theorem 3.5(d), K is a $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set.

Theorem 3.11. Let (X, M, I) be an ideal minimal space satisfying the property $[U]$ and $A \subset X$, is a m^* -dense set. The necessary and sufficient condition that the set A is a $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set is $A \cap (A_m^* - A)_m^*$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$. Consider $(m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A))_m^* - (m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A)) = (m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A))_m^* \cap (X - (m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A))) = m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A)_m^* \cap ((X - m-cl^*(A)) \cup A) = (m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A))_m^* \cap (X - m-cl^*(A)) \cup (m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A)_m^* \cap A) = \phi \cup (m-cl^*(A) - A)_m^* \cap A = (A_m^* - A)_m^* \cap A$ by Theorem 3.5(c) A is a $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set implies $m-cl^*(A) - A = m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A)$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -closed set. Hence $(m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A))_m^* - (m-cl^*(A) \cap (X - A))$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set. That is $(A_m^* - A)_m^* \cap A$ is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

4. $mI\alpha g$ -Submaximal spaces

Definition 4.1. An ideal minimal space (X, M, I) is said to be a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space if every m^* -dense subset in X is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

Theorem 4.2. Every mI -submaximal space is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space, but the converse of this statement may not be true.

Proof. Consider a m^* -dense set A of a mI -submaximal space X . By the definition of mI -submaximal space A is a m -open set. By Theorem 3.2, A is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set in X . Therefore (X, M, I) is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space.

Example 4.3. Consider the ideal minimal space (X, M, I) with $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $M = \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ and the ideal $I = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$. m^* -dense sets are $\{\{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. In this example (X, M, I) is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space, but not a mI -submaximal space since $\{a, b, d\}$ is a m^* -dense set, but not an m -open set.

Lemma 4.4. When (X, M, I) represents a ideal minimal space and $A \subset M$, then below said statements are equivalent.

- (a) A is a $mI\alpha g$ -closed set.

- (b) For a m -open set U of X , $m-cl^*(A) \subset U, A \subset U$.
- (c) Let $x \in m-cl^*(A)$, $m-cl(x) \cap A$ is non empty.
- (d) $m-cl^*(A) - A$ does not have non empty m -closed sets.
- (e) $A_m^* - A$ does not have non empty m -closed sets.

Theorem 4.5. If (X, M, I) is a $m-T_1$ ideal space and A is a m^* -dense in itself set and $mI\alpha g$ -closed subset of X , then A is a m -closed set.

Proof. Let A be m^* -dense in itself set and $mI\alpha g$ -closed subset of X . Then by Lemma 4.4 there exists no non empty m -closed set in $m-cl^*(A) - A$. Also since X is a $m-T_1$, A is a m^* -closed set we have $m-cl^*(A) - A = \phi$. A is a m^* -closed set implies $m-cl^*(A) = m-cl(A)$. Therefore $m-cl(A) - A = \phi$ which implies $m-cl(A) = A$. Hence A is a m -closed set.

Lemma 4.6 In a ideal minimal space (X, M, I) if a subset A is a pre- m - I -open set, then A can be expressed as $A = G \cap B$, where G is a m -open set and B is a m^* -dense set.

Proof. Consider a pre- m - I -open set A , we have $A \subseteq m-int(m-cl^*(A)) = U$ where U is a m -open set. Let $D = X - (U - A) = X - (U \cap A^c) = X \cap (U \cap A^c)^c = X \cap (U^c \cup A) = (U^c \cup A) = (X - U) \cup A$. To prove D is a m^* -dense set it is enough to prove $m-cl^*(D) = X$. That is $m-cl^*((X - U) \cup A) \supseteq m-cl^*(X - U) \cup m-cl^*(A) \supseteq (X - m-cl^*(A)) \cup m-cl^*(A) = X$. Therefore D is m^* -dense set. Also $U \cap D = U \cap ((X - U) \cup A) = (U \cap (X - U)) \cup (U \cap A) = \phi \cup (U \cap A) = \phi \cup A = A$ here $A \subseteq U$. That is $A = U \cap D$ such that U is a m -open set and D is a m^* -dense set.

Remark 4.7. Property $[j]$ refers "union of two $mI\alpha g$ -closed sets is a $mI\alpha g$ -closed set" and property $[v]$ refers "For any two $mI\alpha g$ -closed sets A and B , $A \cap B$ is also a $mI\alpha g$ -closed set".

Theorem 4.8. Let (X, M, I) be the ideal minimal space satisfying property $[v]$ then statements below which are equivalent.

- (a) (X, M, I) is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space.
- (b) If A is a pre- m - I -open set, then A is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Let (X, M, I) is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space and $A \subset X$ be a pre- m - I -open set. By Lemma 4.6 $A = U \cap D$, U is a m -open set and D is a m^* -dense set. Since X is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space and D is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set in X and U is a m -open set, implies U is a $mI\alpha g$ -open by Theorem 3.2, therefore A is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set.

(b) \implies (a). Let A be m^* -dense subset of X , then by Lemma 2.16 A is a pre- m - I -open set. By hypothesis A is a $mI\alpha g$ -open set. Therefore X is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space.

Theorem 4.9. Let (X, M, I) be the ideal minimal space satisfying property $[v]$ then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) (X, M, I) is a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space.
- (b) If A is a subset of X , then A is a $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed set.
- (c) Any m^* -dense subset of X is the intersection of a m^* -closed set and a $mI\alpha g$ -open subset of X .

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Let (X, M, I) be a $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space, by definition of $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space every m^* -dense set is a $mI\alpha$ -open set. Referring Theorem 3.10, every subset is a $mI\alpha$ -locally m^* -closed set. (b) \implies (c). Let A be a m^* -dense set, by (a) of this theorem A is $mI\alpha$ -locally m^* -closed set. Theorem 3.5(b) explains, there exists a $mI\alpha$ -open set U such that $A = U \cap m-cl^*(A)$. Consideration of A as a m^* -dense set we inferred that $m-cl^*(A) = X$. Hence $A = U \cap m-cl^*(A) = U \cap X = U$ and U is a $mI\alpha$ -open set in X . (c) \implies (a). Let A be m^* -dense set in (X, M, I) , by (c) $A = U \cap E$, U is a $mI\alpha$ -open set and E is a m^* -closed set. Since $A \subset E$, E is m^* -dense set. That is $m-cl^*(E) = X$. Since E is a m^* -closed set $m-cl^*(E) = E = X$. Hence $A = U \cap E = U \cap X = U$ and it is a $mI\alpha$ -open set. Therefore (X, M, I) is $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space.

Theorem 4.10. For an ideal minimal space, the following statements are equivalent.

(a) (X, M, I) is a $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space.

(b) Whenever the subset A is not a $mI\alpha$ -open set, we can prove $A - (m-int(m-cl^*(A))) \neq \phi$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Assume the contrary that $A - (m-int(m-cl^*(A))) = \phi$. Therefore $A \subset (m-int(m-cl^*(A)))$, means A is a pre- mI -open set. Since X is a $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space, by Theorem 4.8, A is $mI\alpha$ -open. Which is a contradiction to our assumption that A is not a $mI\alpha$ -open set. Therefore $A - (m-int(m-cl^*(A)))$ is non empty.

(b) \implies (a). Consider a pre- mI -open set A which is not a $mI\alpha$ -open set, then by (b) $A - (m-int(m-cl^*(A)))$ is non empty, which implies $A \not\subset (m-int(m-cl^*(A)))$. That is A may not be a pre- mI -open set. Which contradicts to our assumption that A is Pre- mI -open. Therefore A is a $mI\alpha$ -open set and hence referring Theorem 4.8 X is $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space.

Theorem 4.11. Consider an ideal minimal space (X, M, I) with the property $[v]$, then it is possible for the equivalent statements on $mI\alpha$ -submaximal spaces.

(a) (X, M, I) is a $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space.

(b) The family of all $mI\alpha$ -open sets ζ such that $\zeta = \{U - A : U \text{ is } mI\alpha\text{-open and } m-int^*(A) = \phi\}$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Let X $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space. Construct a σ as $\sigma = \{U - A : U \text{ is } mI\alpha\text{-open and } m-int^*(A) = \phi\}$. Our aim is to prove $\sigma = \zeta$. Consider an element $G \in \zeta$. Since $G = G - \phi$ and $m-int^*(\phi) = \phi$, $G \in \sigma$.

Hence $\zeta \subset \sigma$.——(1)

Let $G \in \sigma$. It is sufficient to prove G is a $mI\alpha$ -open set. Since $G \in \sigma$, G can be written as $G = U - A$ such that U is a $mI\alpha$ -open set and $m-int^*(A) = \phi$. Also $G = U - A = U \cap (X - A)$. Since $m-int^*(A) = \phi$, $X - (m-int^*(X - A)) = m-cl^*(A) = X$. That is $(X - A)$ is a m^* -dense set. Since X is a $mI\alpha$ -submaximal space, $(X - A)$ is a m^* -dense set implies $(X - A)$ is a $mI\alpha$ -open set. Hence $G = U \cap (X - A)$ is a $mI\alpha$ -open set and so

$\sigma \subset \zeta$.——(2)

combining equations (1) and (2) we have proved $\sigma = \zeta$. Hence (b) can be followed.

(b) \implies (a). Consider A be a pre- mI -open set. With reference of Lemma 4.6. A can be written as the intersection of the sets G and B such that G is a m -open set and B is a m^* -dense set. Therefore $m-cl^*(B) = X$ and so $m-int^*(X - B) = \phi$. That is $A = G \cap B = G - (X - B)$ and $m-int^*(X - B) = \phi$. By Theorem 3.2 G is a $mI\alpha$ -open set. Therefore $A \subset \zeta$ and hence A is a $mI\alpha$ -open-set. That is assumption of

the pre-mI-open set A leads that A is mI α g-open. Hence Theorem 4.8. infers that X is a mI α g-submaximal space.

Theorem 4.12. Let (X, M, I) be an ideal minimal space satisfying the property $[I]$ then the equivalent statements on mI α g-submaximal spaces are as follows.

- (a) X is a mI α g-submaximal space.
- (b) There exists a mI α g-closed set $m-cl^*(A) - A$ for every subset A of X .

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Consider a mI α g-submaximal space (X, M, I) and let $A \subset X$. To prove $m-cl^*(A) - A$ is mI α g-closed set is sufficient to prove $X - (m-cl^*(A) - A)$ is mI α g-open. We need to prove $X - (m-cl^*(A) - A)$ is a m^* -dense set. It is necessary to prove that $m-cl^*(X - (m-cl^*(A) - A)) = X$. Proceeding as, $m-cl^*(A \cup (X - (m-cl^*(A) - A))) = m-cl^*(A) \cup m-cl^*(X - (m-cl^*(A) - A)) = X$. Therefore $(X - (m-cl^*(A) - A))$ is a m^* -dense set. Also since X is a mI α g-submaximal space, by the definition of mI α g-submaximal space every m^* -dense set is a mI α g-open set. Hence $(X - (m-cl^*(A) - A))$ is a mI α g-open set. Therefore $(m-cl^*(A) - A)$ is a mI α g-closed set.

(b) \implies (a). Let $(m-cl^*(A) - A)$ be a mI α g-closed set and let $A \subset X$ be a m^* -dense set in (X, M, I) . Therefore $m-cl^*(A) = X$, implies $(X - A)$ is a mI α g-closed set. So A is mI α g-open. (X, M, I) is mI α g-submaximal space.

Theorem 4.13. Consider a m^* -dense subset A , which is also a m^* -dense in itself set in a ideal minimal space (X, M, I) , then the equivalent statements are as follows.

- (a) (X, M, I) is a mI α g-submaximal space.
- (b) $A \cap (A_m^* - A)_m^*$ is a mI α g-open set for every $A \subset X$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Let (X, M, I) is a mI α g-submaximal space. By Theorem 4.9, every subset of A of X is a mI α g-locally m^* -closed set. Then by Theorem 3.11 $A \cap (A_m^* - A)_m^*$ is a mI α g-open set in X .

(b) \implies (a) By hypothesis $A \cap (A_m^* - A)_m^*$ is mI α g-open for every $A \subset X$. Since A is a m^* -dense set we have $m-cl^*(A) = X$. So that $A_m^* - A = m-cl^*(A) - A = X - A$. Hence $A \cap (A_m^* - A)_m^* = A \cap (X - A)_m^* = (X - A)_m^* - (X - A)$ is a mI α g-open set and hence $(X - A)$ is a mI α g-closed set, implies A is a mI α g-open set. Therefore (X, M, I) mI α g-submaximal space.

Theorem 4.14. For a topological space with minimal structure M and the ideal I , then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) (X, M, I) is a mI α g-submaximal space.
- (b) If a subset A is a m^* -codense subset of X , then it is a mI α g-closed set.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Let A be a m^* -codense set, then $(X - A)$ is a m^* -dense set. By Theorem 4.13, $(X - A)$ is a mI α g-open set. Hence A is a mI α g-closed set.

(b) \implies (a). Since A is a m^* -codense set $(X - A)$ is a m^* -dense set and so $(X - A)$ is a mI α g-open set. Therefore by Theorem 4.13 (X, M, I) is a mI α g-submaximal space.

Theorem 4.15. Let (X, M, I) be an ideal minimal space, where I is a m -codense ideal.If every subset is m-I-locally -m-closed, then (X, M, I) is a mI α g-submaximal space.

Proof. Let A be m^* -dense set. Since I is m -codense, A is ,m-I-dense and m-I-locally -m-closed,then A is

m -open and hence $mI\alpha g$ -open. Therefore X is $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space.

Theorem 4.16. In a $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal space (X, M, I) if for any two ideals I, I' such that $I \subset I'$, then (X, M, I') is a $mI'\alpha g$ -submaximal space.

Proof. Let A be $m^*(I')$ -dense subset in (X, M, I') , then $A \cup A_m^*(I') = X$. As $I \subset I'$ we get $A_m^*(I') \subset A_m^*(I)$, which implies that $X = A \cup A_m^*(I') \subset A \cup A_m^*(I)$. That is $A \cup A_m^*(I) = X$. Thus A is a $m^*(I)$ -dense set. As (X, M, I) is $mI\alpha g$ -submaximal, A is $mI\alpha g$ -open. Since $I \subset I'$, A is $mI'\alpha g$ -open. Therefore (X, M, I') is $mI'\alpha g$ -submaximal space.

5. Conclusion

In this article we have discussed some salient features of $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed sets. We have introduced a new submaximality called $mI\alpha g$ -submaximality in ideal minimal spaces and studied its significant features. Equivalence of $mI\alpha g$ -submaximality with Pre- mI -open sets, $mI\alpha g$ -locally m^* -closed sets, m^* -codense sets are given. Heredity nature of ideals are imported under $mI\alpha g$ -submaximality. Future work of this article will be in hyper connectedness and $mI\alpha g$ -submaximality.

References

- [1] A.V.Arhangel'skii and P.J.Collins, On submaximal spaces, *Topology Appli.* 64 (3)(1995), 219-241.
- [2] D.Arivuoli, M.Parimala, On α generalised closed sets in ideal minimal spaces, *ASIA LIFE SCIENCES*, Supplement 14. No.1, March 2017, 85-92.
- [3] K. Bhavani and D.Sivaraj Ig-Submaximal Spaces, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (3s.)* v. 33 1 (2015): 105–110.
- [4] R.Chitra m^* -extremally disconnected ideal m -spaces. *International Journal of Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 1(3)(2011), 39-51.
- [5] Properties of m -I-submaximal ideal minimal spaces, Communicated.
- [6] Erdal Ekici and Takashi Noiri, Properties of I-submaximal ideal topological spaces, *Filomat*, 24 :4 (2010), 87-94.
- [7] E.Hewit, A problem of set theoretic topology, *Duke Math. J.*, 10 (1943), 309-333.
- [8] D. Jankovi'c and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 97 (1990), 295-310.
- [9] K.Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I. Academic Press, New york, 1996.
- [10] H.Maki, J.Umehara, T.Noiri. Every Topological space in pre T(1/2). *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math.*, 1996, 17:33-42.
- [11] O.B.Ozbakiri and E.D.Yildirim, On some closed sets in ideal minimal spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 125(3)(2009), 227-235.
- [12] M.Parimala, D.Arivuoli and R. Perumal "On some locally closed sets in ideal minimal spaces" *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Volume 113 No. 12, 2017, 230 – 238.
- [13] M. Parimala and A. Selvakumar, ON α , semi, β -open sets minimal ideal topological spaces, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Math.*, 60 (2017), 77 84.
- [14] O.Ravi,S.Tharmar,S.Ganesan, Some Locally closed sets in ideal minimal spaces, *International Journal of Advances in Pure and Applied mathematics*, Volume I Issue1(2011), pages 89-105.
- [15] Takashi NOIRI and Valeriu POPA, On m -D-Separation Axioms. *Istanbul Univ. Fen. Fak. Mat. Dergirsi*, 61-62(2002-2003), 15-28.
- [16] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The localization theory in Set Topology, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, 20 (1945), 51 - 61.