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Abstract:
In this paper, we made an attempt to unveil to notion of local functions, weak forms of $n_{1,2}$-open sets an ideal binanotopological spaces, $(1,2)^*\text{-}g$-closed sets, $(1,2)^*\text{-}g$-closed sets and $(1,2)^*\text{-}g$-closed sets are introduced and their properties are discussed with suitable examples. They are characterized in the context of an ideal binanotopological space.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries


M. Lellis Thivagar et al [7] introduced the concept of nano topological spaces. I. Rajasekaran and O. Nethaji [13, 14] introduced $(1,2)^*\text{-}b$-open sets, $(1,2)^*\text{-}b$-open sets in binanotopological spaces and Simple forms of nano open sets in an ideal nanotopological spaces. K. Bhuvaneswari et al [1, 2] introduced the notions of $(1,2)^*\text{-}g$-open and $(1,2)^*\text{-}pre$-open sets in nano topological spaces and Nirmala Rebecca Paul [10] introduced on generalised closed sets in nano bitopological spaces.

In this paper, we made an attempt to unveiled to notion of local functions, weak forms of $n_{1,2}$-open sets an ideal binanotopological spaces, $(1,2)^*\text{-}g$-closed sets, $(1,2)^*\text{-}g$-closed sets and $(1,2)^*\text{-}g$-closed sets are introduced and their properties are discussed with suitable examples. They are characterized in the context of an ideal binanotopological space.

In the rest of the paper, we denote a binanotopological space by $(U,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)$, where $\mathcal{N}_1 = \tau_R$ and
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\( N_2 = \tau_{R'} \). \( N_1 \cup N_2 \) or \( N_{1,2} = \tau_R \cup \tau_{R'} \). The binano-interior and binano-closure of a subset \( H \) of \( G \) are denoted by \( n_{1,2} \text{-int}(H) \) and \( n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H) \), respectively.

A binanotopological space \((U, N_1, N_2)\) with an ideal \( I \) on \( U \) is called an ideal binanotopological space and is denoted by \((U, N_1, N_2, I)\).

**Remark:** \((N_{1,2}\text{-open} \text{ or } n_{1,2}\text{-open})\) sets need not necessarily form a nanotopology.

2. \((N_1, N_2)\)-local functions

In this section, we introduce the notion of \((N_1, N_2)\)-local functions.

Given a binanotopological space \((U, N_1, N_2)\) with an ideal \( I \) on \( U \), the \((N_1, N_2)\)-local function of \( H \) with respect to \( N_1, N_2 \) and \( I \) by \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* (N_1, N_2, I) = \{ u \in U | H \cap G \notin I \text{ for every } n_{1,2}\text{-open set containing } u \} \). \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* (N_1, N_2, I) \) are denoted by \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* \), respectively.

**Theorem 2.1.** For subsets \( H, K \) of an ideal binanotopological space \((U, N_1, N_2, I)\), the following properties are true:

1. If \( H \subseteq K \implies H_{n_{1,2}}^* \subseteq K_{n_{1,2}}^* \).
2. \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* = n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H^*) \subseteq n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H) \).
3. \( (H_{n_{1,2}}^*)^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq H_{n_{1,2}}^* \).
4. \( (H \cup K)_{n_{1,2}}^* = H_{n_{1,2}}^* \cup K_{n_{1,2}}^* \).
5. \( V \in N_{1,2} \implies V \cap H_{n_{1,2}}^* = V \cap (V \cap H)_{n_{1,2}}^* \subseteq (V \cap H)_{n_{1,2}}^* \).

**Proof.**

1. Assuming that \( H \subseteq K \) and \( u \notin K_{n_{1,2}}^* \). Then, there exists a \( n_{1,2}\text{-open} \) set \( G \) containing \( u \) such that \( G \cap K \in I \).
   Since \( H \subseteq K \), we have \( G \cap H \in I \) and so \( u \notin H_{n_{1,2}}^* \). This shows that \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* \subseteq K_{n_{1,2}}^* \).

2. Let \( u \in n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H^*) \). Then \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* \cap G \neq \emptyset \) for every \( n_{1,2}\text{-open} \) set \( G \) containing \( u \). Therefore, there exists \( v \in H_{n_{1,2}}^* \cap G \). Since \( G \) containing \( v \) and \( v \in H_{n_{1,2}}^* \), we’ve \( G \cap H \notin I \) and so \( u \in H_{n_{1,2}}^* \). Hence, \( n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H^*) \subseteq H_{n_{1,2}}^* \). As a result, we obtain \( n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H^*) = H_{n_{1,2}}^* \). Again, let \( u \in n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H^*) = H_{n_{1,2}}^* \), then \( G \cap H \notin I \) for each \( n_{1,2}\text{-open} \) set \( G \) containing \( u \). This implies that \( G \cap H \neq \emptyset \) for every \( n_{1,2}\text{-open} \) set \( G \) containing \( u \). Therefore, we have \( u \in n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(H) \). This proves \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* \text{-cl}(A^*) \subseteq n_{1,2} \text{-cl}(A) \).

3. Let \( u \in (H_{n_{1,2}}^*)^*_{n_{1,2}} \). Then for every \( n_{1,2}\text{-open} \) set \( G \) containing \( u \), \( G \cap H_{n_{1,2}}^* \notin I \) and so \( G \cap H_{n_{1,2}}^* \neq \emptyset \). Therefore, there exists \( v \in G \cap H_{n_{1,2}}^* \). Since \( G \) containing \( v \) and \( v \in H_{n_{1,2}}^* \), we have \( G \cap H \notin I \) and so \( u \in H_{n_{1,2}}^* \). This shows that \( (H_{n_{1,2}}^*)^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq H_{n_{1,2}}^* \).

4. From (1), we have \( H_{n_{1,2}}^* \cup K_{n_{1,2}}^* \subseteq (H \cup K)_{n_{1,2}}^* \). For the reverse inclusion, suppose that \( u \notin H_{n_{1,2}}^* \cup K_{n_{1,2}}^* \). Then, we have \( u \notin H_{n_{1,2}}^* \) and \( u \notin K_{n_{1,2}}^* \). There exist \( n_{1,2}\text{-open} \) set \( G \) containing \( u \) and \( n_{1,2}\text{-open} \) set \( A \) containing \( u \) such that \( G \cap H \in I \) and \( A \cap K \in I \).
Therefore, \((G \cap A) \cap (H \cup K) = [(G \cap A) \cap H] \cup [(G \cap A) \cap K]\)
\[\subseteq (G \cap H) \cup (A \cap K) \in I\]
and so \(u \notin (H \cup K)^*_{n_{1,2}}\).
\(\Rightarrow (H \cup K)^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq H^*_{n_{1,2}} \cup K^*_{n_{1,2}}\). As a result, we find \((H \cup K)^*_{n_{1,2}} = H^*_{n_{1,2}} \cup K^*_{n_{1,2}}\).

5. Assuming that \(V \in N_{1,2}\) and \(u \in V \cap H^*_{n_{1,2}}\). Then \(u \in V\) and \(u \in H^*_{n_{1,2}}\). Since \(V \in N_{1,2}\), then there exists \(K \in N_{1,2}\) such \(u \in K \subseteq n_{1,2}-cl(K) \subseteq V\). Let \(G\) be any \(n_{1,2}\)-open set containing \(u\).

Followed by \(G \cap K \in N_{1,2}(u)\) and \(n_{1,2}-cl(G \cap K) \cap H \notin I\) and hence \(n_{1,2}-cl(G) \cap (V \cap H) \notin I\). This shows that \(u \in (V \cap H)^*_{n_{1,2}}\) and hence we find \(V \cap H^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq (V \cap H)^*_{n_{1,2}}\). Also, \(V \cap H^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq V \cap (V \cap H)^*_{n_{1,2}}\) and by
\[\text{(3) } (V \cap H)^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq H^*_{n_{1,2}}\]and \(V \cap (V \cap H)^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq V \cap H^*_{n_{1,2}}\). Hence, \(V \cap H^*_{n_{1,2}} = V \cap (V \cap H)^*_{n_{1,2}}\).

**Definition 2.1.** Let \((U,N_1,N_2,I)\) be an ideal binanotopological space. For any subset \(H\) of \(U\), we put \(n_{1,2}-cl^*(H) = H \cup H^*_{n_{1,2}}\). The operator \(n_{1,2}-cl^*\) is a Kuratowski closure operator. The binano topology generated by \(n_{1,2}-cl^*\) is denoted by \(N^*_1\) or \(n^*_1\), that is \(N^*_1 = \{G \subseteq U|n_{1,2}-cl^*(U - G) = U - G\}\).

The elements of \(N^*_1\) are called \(n_{1,2}-\text{open}\) sets and the complement of a \(n_{1,2}-\text{open}\) set is called \(n_{1,2}-\text{closed}\).

The closure and the interior of \(H\) with respect to \(N^*_1\) or \(n^*_1\), are denoted by \(n_{1,2}-cl^*(H)\) and \(n_{1,2}-int^*(H)\), respectively.

**Proposition 2.1.** For subsets \(H\) and \(K\) of an ideal binanotopological space \((U,N_1,N_2,I)\), the following properties are true:

1. \(H \subseteq n_{1,2}-cl^*(H)\).
2. \(n_{1,2}-cl^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset\) and \(n_{1,2}-cl^*(U) = U\).
3. If \(H \subseteq K\), then \(n_{1,2}-cl^*(H) \subseteq n_{1,2}-cl^*(K)\).
4. \(n_{1,2}-cl^*(H) \cup n_{1,2}-cl^*(K) \subseteq n_{1,2}-cl^*(H \cup K)\).

**Definition 2.2.** A subset \(H\) of an ideal binanotopological space is called

1. \(n_{1,2}-\text{closed}\) if \(H^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq H\).
2. \(n_{1,2}-\text{dense}\) in itself if \(H \subseteq H^*_{n_{1,2}}\).
3. \(n_{1,2}-\text{perfect}\) if \(H^*_{n_{1,2}} = H\).

**Proposition 2.2.** If \(H\) is \(n_{1,2}-\text{dense}\) in itself in an ideal binanotopological space, then \(H^*_{n_{1,2}} = n_{1,2}-cl(H^*) = n_{1,2}-cl(H) = n_{1,2}-cl^*(H)\).

**Proof.**
Assuming that \(H\) is \(n_{1,2}-\text{dense}\) in itself. Then, we have \(H \subseteq H^*_{n_{1,2}}\) and so \(n_{1,2}-cl(H) \subseteq n_{1,2}-cl(H^*)\).
By Proposition 2.1, \(n_{1,2}-cl(H) \subseteq n_{1,2}-cl(H^*) \subseteq n_{1,2}-cl(H)\) and hence \(H^*_{n_{1,2}} = n_{1,2}-cl(H^*) = n_{1,2}-cl(H)\). Since \(H^*_{n_{1,2}} = n_{1,2}-cl(H)\), we have \(n_{1,2}-cl^*(H) = n_{1,2}-cl(H)\).
As a Result, we find \(H^*_{n_{1,2}} = n_{1,2}-cl(H^*) = n_{1,2}-cl(H) = n_{1,2}-cl^*(H)\).
Proposition 2.3. For an ideal binanotopological space, the following properties are true:

1. If $H$ is $n_{1,2}$-open, then $n_{1,2}$-int$^*(H) = H$.
2. If $K$ is $n_{1,2}$-closed, then $n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(K)$ is $n_{1,2}$-closed.

Proof.

1. Let $H$ be a $n_{1,2}$-open set. Then $U - H$ is $n_{1,2}$-closed, by Proposition 2.1, we have $(U - H)^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq n_{1,2}$-cl$(U - H) = U - H$ and so $n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(U - H) = U - H$. This implies that $H$ is a $n_{1,2}$-open set and hence $n_{1,2}$-int$^*(H) = H$.
2. Let $K$ be a $n_{1,2}$-closed set. Then, we have $n_{1,2}$-cl$(n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(K)) = n_{1,2}$-cl$(K^* \cup K) = n_{1,2}$-cl$(K^*) \cup n_{1,2}$-cl$(K) = n_{1,2}$-cl$(K) = K \subseteq n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(K)$. As a result, $n_{1,2}$-cl$(n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(K)) = n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(K)$ and so $n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(K)$ is $n_{1,2}$-closed.

3. Weak forms of $n_{1,2}$-open sets: ideal binanotopological spaces

Definition 3.1. A subset $H$ of an ideal binanotopological space is called

1. $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-I-open if $H \subseteq n_{1,2}$-int$(n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(n_{1,2}$-int$(H)))$,
2. $(1, 2)^*$ nano-semi-I-open if $H \subseteq n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(n_{1,2}$-int$(H))$,
3. $(1, 2)^*$ nano-pre-I-open if $H \subseteq n_{1,2}$-int$(n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(H))$,
4. $(1, 2)^*$ nano-b-I-open if $H \subseteq n_{1,2}$-int$(n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(H)) \cup n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(n_{1,2}$-int$(H))$,
5. $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-I-open if $H \subseteq n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(H))$.

The complements of the above used sets are following for their respective closed sets.

Theorem 3.1. In a space $(U, N_1, N_2, I)$, for a subset $H$, the following relations are true.

1. If $H$ is $n_{1,2}$-open, then $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-I-open.
2. If $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-I-open, then $H$ is nano $(1, 2)^*$-semi-I-open.
3. If $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-I-open, then $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-pre-I-open.
4. If $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-semi-I-open, then $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-b-I-open.
5. If $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-pre-I-open, then $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-b-I-open.
6. If $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-b-I-open, then $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-I-open.

Proof.

1. $H$ is $n_{1,2}$-open, then $H = n_{1,2}$-int$(H)$.

But $H \subseteq n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(H) = n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(n_{1,2}$-int$(H)) \subseteq n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(n_{1,2}$-cl$^*(H))$ which proves that $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-I-open.
2. $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\alpha$-$I$-open, then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(H)))$
\hspace{1cm} $\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(H))$
which proves that $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi}$-$I$-open.

3. $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\alpha$-$I$-open, then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(H)))$
\hspace{1cm} $\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H))$
which proves that $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$I$-open.

4. $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$I$-open, then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(H))$
\hspace{1cm} $\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(H)) \cup n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H))$
which proves that $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-b}$-$I$-open.

5. $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$I$-open, then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H))$
\hspace{1cm} $\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H)) \cup n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(H))$
which proves that $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$I$-open.

6. $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-b}$-$I$-open, then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H)) \cup n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(H))$
\hspace{1cm} $\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H)))$
\hspace{2cm} $\cup n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H)))$
\hspace{2cm} $= n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(n_{1,2}\text{-}int(n_{1,2}\text{-}cl^*(H)))$
which proves that $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$\beta$-$I$-open.

**Remark 3.1.** These relations are shown in the diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{n}_{1,2}\text{-open} \\
\downarrow \\
(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\alpha$-$I$-open $\quad \Rightarrow \quad (1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$I$-open \\
\downarrow \\
(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi}$-$I$-open $\quad \Rightarrow \quad (1,2)^*\text{nano-b}$-$I$-open \\
\downarrow \\
(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$\beta$-$I$-open
\end{array}
\]

**Example 3.1.** Let $U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\}$ with $U/R = \{\{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_4, m_5\}\}$

1. $X_1 = \{m_1, m_2\}$, then $N_1 = \{\phi, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}\}$.
2. $X_2 = \{m_2, m_3\}$, then $N_2 = \{\phi, U, \{m_2, m_3\}\}$.
Then the binanotopologies $N_{1,2} = \{\phi, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}\}$.
Let the ideal be $I = \{\phi, \{m_2\}\}$.

(a) the set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ which is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\alpha$-$I$-open need not to be $n_{1,2}$-open.
(b) the set $\{m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi}$-$I$-open need not to be $(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$\alpha$-$I$-open.
(c) the set $\{m_3, m_4\}$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano}$-$\beta$-$I$-open need not to be $(1,2)^*\text{nano-b}$-$I$-open.
Example 3.2. Let \( U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\} \) with \( U/R = \{\{m_1\}, \{m_3\}, \{m_2, m_4\}\} \)

1. \( X_1 = \{m_1, m_2\} \), then \( \mathcal{N}_1 = \{\emptyset, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_4\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_4\}\} \).

2. \( X_2 = \{m_1, m_2, m_4\} \), then \( \mathcal{N}_2 = \{\emptyset, U, \{m_1, m_2, m_4\}\} \).

Then binanotopologies \( \mathcal{N}_{1,2} = \{\emptyset, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_4\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_4\}\} \).

Let the ideal be \( I = \{\emptyset, \{m_1\}\} \).

(a) the set \( \{m_2\} \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-pre-I-open need not to be \( (1,2)^* \) nano-\( \alpha \)-I-open.

(b) the set \( \{m_1, m_4\} \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-b-I-open need not to be \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open.

(c) the set \( \{m_2, m_3, m_4\} \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-b-I-open need not to be \( (1,2)^* \) nano-pre-I-open.

Theorem 3.2. A subset \( H \) of an ideal binanotopological space is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-\( \alpha \)-I-open iff \( H \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open and \( (1,2)^* \) nano-pre-I-open.

Proof.

Direct part follows from (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1.

Indirect part of if \( H \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open and \( (1,2)^* \) nano-pre-I-open then
\[
H \subseteq n_{1,2} \text{-}\text{int}(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(H)) \quad \text{and} \quad H \subseteq n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(H)).
\]

Thus
\[
H \subseteq n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(H)) \subseteq n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(H))))
\]
\[
= n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(H)))
\]

which proves that \( H \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-\( \alpha \)-I-open.

Remark 3.2. In a space \( (U, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, I) \), \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open sets and \( (1,2)^* \) nano-pre-I-open sets are independent of each other as the following Example show.

Example 3.3. Let \( U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\} \) with \( U/R = \{\{m_1\}, \{m_4\}, \{m_2, m_3\}\} \).

1. \( X_1 = \{m_1, m_3\} \), then \( \mathcal{N}_1 = \{\emptyset, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}\} \) and

2. \( X_2 = \{m_1\} \), then \( \mathcal{N}_2 = \{\emptyset, U, \{m_1\}\} \).

Then the binanotopologies \( \mathcal{N}_{1,2} = \{\emptyset, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}\} \).

Let the ideal be \( I = \{\emptyset, \{m_3\}\} \).

(a) the set \( \{m_1, m_3\} \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open need not to be \( (1,2)^* \) nano-pre-I-open.

(b) the set \( \{m_2\} \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-pre-I-open need not to be \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open.

Theorem 3.3. If a subset \( H \) of a space \( (U, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, I) \) is both \( n_{1,2}^* \)-closed and \( (1,2)^* \) nano-\( \beta \)-I-open, then \( H \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open.

Proof. Since \( H \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-\( \beta \)-I-open, \( H \subseteq n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(H)))
\]
\[
= n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{cl}^*(n_{1,2} \text{-} \text{int}(H)),
\]

\( H \) being \( n_{1,2}^* \)-closed. Therefore \( H \) is \( (1,2)^* \) nano-semi-I-open.
**Theorem 3.4.** A subset $H$ of a space $(U, N_1, N_2, I)$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$ if and only if $n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H) = n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$.

**Proof.** Let $H$ be $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$.

Then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$ and $n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$.

But $n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)$. Thus $n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H) = n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$.

Conversely, let the condition true. We have $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H) = n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$, by assumption. Thus $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$ and hence $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$.

**Proposition 3.1.** In $(U, N, I)$ if $H$ is a $(1,2)^*\text{nano-b-I-open}$ set such that $n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H) = \phi$, then $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$.

**Theorem 3.5.** A subset $H$ of an ideal binanotopological space is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$ if and only if there exists a $n_{1,2}\text{-open}$ set $K$ such that $K \subseteq H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(K)$.

**Proof.** Let $H$ be $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$. Then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$. Take $n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H) = K$. Then $K \subseteq H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(K)$, where $K$ is $n_{1,2}\text{-open}$.

Conversely, let $K \subseteq H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(K)$ for some $n_{1,2}\text{-open}$ set $K$. Since $K \subseteq H$, $K \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)$ and $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(K) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$ which implies $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$.

**Theorem 3.6.** If $H$ is a $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$ set in an ideal binanotopological space and $H \subseteq K \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)$, then $K$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$.

**Proof.**

By assumption $K \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)))$ (for $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}) = n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(K))$ by assumption. This implies $K$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-semi-}I\text{-open}$.

**Proposition 3.2.** For a subset of $H$ an ideal binanotopological space, the following properties are true:

1. If $H$ is $\alpha\text{-}I\text{-open}$, then $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\alpha\text{-open}$.
2. If $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-pre-}I\text{-open}$, then $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-pre-}$open.
3. If $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-b-I-open}$, then $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-b}$-open.
4. If $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\beta\text{-I-open}$, then $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\beta$-open.

**Proof.**

1. Let $H$ be a $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\alpha\text{-}I\text{-open}$ set. Then

   $$H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))).$$

   This shows that $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano-}\alpha\text{-open}$.  }
2. Let $H$ be a $(1, 2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open set. Then
\[ H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)) \]
\[ \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(H)). \]
This shows that $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-pre-open.

3. Let $H$ be a $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$b$-$I$-open set. Then
\[ H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)) \cup n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)) \]
\[ \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(H)) \cup n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)). \]
This shows that $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$b$-open.

4. Let $H$ be a $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open set.
Then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H))) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(H))).$
This shows that $H$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-open.

**Remark 3.3.** The converses of Proposition 3.2 are not true in general as shown in the following Example.

**Example 3.4.** Let $U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\}$ with $U/R = \{\{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_4, m_5\}\}$.

1. $X_1 = \{m_1, m_2\}$, then $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{\phi, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}\}$.
2. $X_2 = \{m_1\}$, then $\mathcal{N}_2 = \{\phi, U, \{m_1\}\}$.
   Then the binanotopologies $\mathcal{N}_{1,2} = \{\phi, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}\}$.
   Let the ideal be $I = \wp(U)$.
   The set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-open need not to be $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-$I$-open.

**Example 3.5.** Let $U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ with $U/R = \{\{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}\}$

1. $X_1 = \{m_1, m_2\}$, then $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{\phi, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}\}$.
2. $X_2 = \{m_2, m_3\}$, then $\mathcal{N}_2 = \{\phi, U, \{m_2, m_3\}\}$.
   Then the binanotopologies $\mathcal{N}_{1,2} = \{\phi, U, \{m_1\}, \{m_2, m_3\}\}$.
   Let the ideal be $I = \{\phi, m_2\}$.
   The set $\{m_1, m_2\}$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-pre-open need not to be $(1, 2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open.

**Example 3.6.** In Example 3.2,

1. the set $\{m_1, m_3\}$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$b$-open need not to be $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$b$-$I$-open.
2. the set $\{m_1, m_3\}$ is $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-open need not to be $(1, 2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $(U, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, I)$ be a space and $H$ a subset of $U$. If $H$ is $n_{1,2}$-open in an ideal binanotopological space, then $K \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(K \cap H)$.

**Proof.**
Proposition 3.3. The intersection of a $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open and $n_{1,2}$-open set is $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open.

Proof. Let $H$ be $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open and $G$ be $n_{1,2}$-open.

Then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H))$ and

$$G \cap H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G) \cap n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)) = n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(G \cap H))$$

by Lemma 3.1. This shows that $G \cap H$ is $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open.

Proposition 3.4. The intersection of a $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open and $n_{1,2}$-open set is $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open.

Proof. Let $H$ be $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open and $G$ be $n_{1,2}$-open in $U$.

Then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$ and $n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G) = G$.

$$G \cap H \subseteq G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)) \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H)) = n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G \cap H))$$

by Lemma 3.1. Hence $H$ is $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open.

Proposition 3.5. The intersection of a $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-$I$-open and $n_{1,2}$-open set is $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-$I$-open.

Proof. Let $G$ be a $n_{1,2}$-open and $H$ be an $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-$I$-open in a space $(U,N_1,N_2,I)$. Then $H$ is both $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open and $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open by (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1. $H \cap G$ is both $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open and $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open by Proposition 3.3 and 3.4. Hence by Theorem 3.2, $H \cap G$ is $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\alpha$-$I$-open.

Proposition 3.6. The intersection of a $(1,2)^*$ nano-$b$-$I$-open and $n_{1,2}$-open set is $(1,2)^*$ nano-$b$-$I$-open.

Proof.
Let $H$ be $(1,2)^*$ nano-$I$-open and $G$ be $n_{1,2}$-open. Then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)) \cup n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))$ and $G \cap H \subseteq G \cap [n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)) \cup n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))]$

$$= [G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H))] \cup [G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))]$$

$$= [n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H))) \cup [G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))]
\subseteq [n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H))] \cup [n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))]$$

by Lemma 3.1.

Thus $G \cap H \subseteq [n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G \cap H)) \cup [n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-int}(H))]$.

This shows that $G \cap H$ is $(1,2)^*$ nano-$I$-open.

**Proposition 3.7.** The intersection of a $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open set and $n_{1,2}$-open set is $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open.

**Proof.**

Let $H$ be $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open and $G$ be $n_{1,2}$-open. Then $H \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)))$ and $G \cap H \subseteq G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)))$

$$\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)))$$

$$\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)))$$

$$= n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(G \cap n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(H)))$$

$$\subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}^*(n_{1,2}\text{-int}(G \cap H))$$

by Lemma 3.1. This shows that $G \cap H$ is $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open.

**Remark 3.4.** The intersection of two $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open (resp. $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open, $(1,2)^*$ nano-$b$-$I$-open, $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open) sets need not be $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open (resp. $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open, $(1,2)^*$ nano-$b$-$I$-open, $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open) as shown in the following Example.

**Example 3.7.** In Example 3.3, $\{m_1, m_4\}$ and $\{m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ the both are sets $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open. Hence $\{m_4\}$ is not $(1,2)^*$ nano-semi-$I$-open.

**Example 3.8.** In Example 3.2,

1. $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ and $\{m_1, m_3, m_4\}$ the both are sets $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$nI$-open and $(1,2)^*$ nano-$b$-$I$-open. Hence $\{m_1, m_3\}$ is not $(1,2)^*$ nano-pre-$I$-open and $(1,2)^*$ nano-$b$-$nI$-open.

2. $\{m_2, m_3\}$ and $\{m_3, m_4\}$ the both are sets $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open. Hence $\{m_3\}$ is not $(1,2)^*$ nano-$\beta$-$I$-open.

4. $(1,2)^*$ nano generalized closed sets : ideal binanotopological spaces

**Definition 4.1.** A subset $H$ of a space $(U, N_1, \mathcal{N}_2, I)$ is said to be

1. $(1,2)^*$ nano-$I_g$-closed if $H_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq K$ whenever $H \subseteq K$ and $K$ is $n_{1,2}$-open.

2. $(1,2)^*$ nano $*$-$g$-closed if $n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq K$ whenever $H \subseteq K$ and $K$ is $n_{1,2}$-$*$-open.
3. $(1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed if } H^*_{n_1, 2} \subseteq K$ whenever $H \subseteq K$ and $K$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-open.}$

The complement of the above used sets are following for their respective open sets.

**Theorem 4.1.** If $H$ is a $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed subset of } G$ in an ideal binanotopological space and $K$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed in binanotopological space, then } H \cap K$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed in an ideal binanotopological spaces.**}

**Proof.**

\[
\begin{align*}
n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H \cap K) & \subseteq n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) \cap n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(K) \\
& \subseteq n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) \cap n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(K) = H \cap K. \quad \text{Hence } H \cap K = n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H \cap K) \text{ and thus } H \cap K \text{ is } n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed.}
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 4.2.** If any ideal binanotopological space and $H \subseteq G$, then the following are equivalent.

1. $H$ is $(1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed.}$
2. $n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) \subseteq K$ whenever $H \subseteq K$ and $K$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-open.}$
3. $n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) - H$ contains no nonempty $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed set.}$
4. $H^*_{n_1, 2} - H$ contains no nonempty $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed set.}$

**Proof.**

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $H \subseteq K$ where $K$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-open in } G$. Since $H$ is $(1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed, } H^*_{n_1, 2} \subseteq K$ and so $n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) = H \cup H^*_{n_1, 2} \subseteq K$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $S$ be a $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed subset such that } S \subseteq n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) - H$. Then $S \subseteq n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H)$. Moreover $S \subseteq n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) - H \subseteq G - H$ and hence $H \subseteq G - S$ where $G - S$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-open.}$ By (2)

\[
\begin{align*}
n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) \subseteq G - S \text{ and so } S \subseteq G - n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H). \quad \text{Thus } S \subseteq n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) \cap G - n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) = \phi. \quad \text{Hence } S = \phi \text{ and (3) is proved.}
\end{align*}
\]

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $H^*_{n_1, 2} - H = H \cup H^*_{n_1, 2} - H = n_{1,2}^* \text{-cl}(H) - H$ which contains no nonempty $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed subset by (3).}$

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $H \subseteq K$ where $K$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-open.}$ Then $G - K \subseteq G - H$ and so $H^*_{n_1, 2} \cap (G - K) \subseteq H^*_{n_1, 2} \cap (G - H) = H^*_{n_1, 2} - H$. Since $H^*_{n_1, 2}$ is always $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed and } G - K \text{ is } n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed, } H^*_{n_1, 2} \cap (G - K)$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed by Theorem 4.1 and also contained in } H^*_{n_1, 2} - H$. Hence $H^*_{n_1, 2} \cap (G - K) = \phi$. by (4). Thus $H^*_{n_1, 2} \subseteq K$ and $H$ is $(1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed.}$

**Theorem 4.3.** In an ideal binanotopological space,

1. $H$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed, then } H \text{ is } (1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed.}$
2. $H \in I$, then $H$ is $(1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed.}$
3. $H$ is $(1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed, } n_{1,2}^* \text{-open, then } H \text{ is } n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed.}$
4. $H$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-g-closed, then } H \text{ is } (1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed.}$

**Proof.**

1. Let $H$ be $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed set. To prove } H \text{ is } (1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed, let } K \text{ be any } n_{1,2}^* \text{-open set such that } H \subseteq K$. Since $H$ is $n_{1,2}^* \text{-closed, } H^*_{n_1, 2} \subseteq H \subseteq K$. Thus $H$ is $(1, 2)^* \text{nano } I_g^* \text{-closed.}$
2. Let $H \in I$ and let $H \subseteq K$ where $K$ is $n_{1,2}$-$\alpha$-open. Since $H \subseteq I$, $H^*_{n_{1,2}} = \phi \subseteq K$. Thus $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano } I_{g}$-$\alpha$-closed.

3. Let $H$ be $(1,2)^*\text{nano } I_{g}$-$\alpha$-closed and $n_{1,2}$-$\alpha$-open. We have $H \subseteq H$ where $H$ is $n_{1,2}$-$\alpha$-open. Since $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano } I_{g}$-$\alpha$-closed, $H^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq H$. Thus $H$ is $n_{1,2}$-$\alpha$-closed.

4. Let $H$ be $n_{1,2}$-$g$-closed and $K$ any $n_{1,2}$-$\alpha$-open set such that $H \subseteq K$. Since $H$ is $n_{1,2}$-$g$-closed, $n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq K$. So, $H^*_{n_{1,2}} \subseteq n_{1,2}\text{-cl}(H) \subseteq K$ and thus $H$ is $(1,2)^*\text{nano } I_{g}$-$\alpha$-closed.

**Remark 4.1.** We have the following implications for a subset in the an ideal binanotopological space $(U,N_1,N_2,I)$ from the results stated above.

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{n}_{1,2}\text{-closed} & \quad \implies \quad (1,2)^*\text{nano } I_{g}\star\text{-closed} \quad \implies \quad (1,2)^*\text{nano } g\text{-closed} \\
\text{n}_{1,2}\star\text{-closed} & \quad \implies \quad (1,2)^*\text{nano } I_{g}\star\text{-closed} \quad \implies \quad (1,2)^*\text{nano } I_{g}\text{-closed}
\end{align*}
$$

**Example 4.1.** Let $U = \{m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4\}$ with $U/R = \{\{m_2\},\{m_4\},\{m_1,m_3\}\}$.

1. $X_1 = \{m_3,m_4\}$, then $N_1 = \{\phi,\{m_4\},\{m_1,m_3\},\{m_1,m_3,m_4\},U\}$ and

2. $X_2 = \{m_4\}$, then $N_2 = \{\phi,\{m_4\},U\}$.

Then the binanotopologies $N_{1,2} = \{\phi,\{m_4\},\{m_1,m_3\},\{m_1,m_3,m_4\},U\}$.

Let the ideal be $I = \{\phi,\{m_4\}\}$.

(a) the set $\{m_4\}$ is $n\star$-closed, $nI_{g}$-closed and $nI_{g}\star$-closed but not $n$-closed, $ng$-closed and $n\star$-$g$-closed.

(b) the set $\{m_1,m_2,m_4\}$ is $nI_{g}\star$-closed and $n\star$-$g$-closed but not $n\star$-closed and $n$-closed.

(c) for $I = \varphi(X)$, the set $\{m_1,m_2\}$ is $ng$-closed but not $n\star$-$g$-closed.

**Example 4.2.** Let $U = \{m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4\}$ with $U/R = \{\{m_1,m_3\},\{m_2,m_4\}\}$

1. $X_1 = \{m_1,m_3,m_4\}$, then $N_1 = \{\phi,\{m_2,m_4\},\{m_1,m_3\},U\}$ and

2. $X_2 = \{m_1,m_3\}$, then $N_2 = \{\phi,\{m_1,m_3\},U\}$.

Then the binanotopologies $N_{1,2} = \{\phi,\{m_2,m_4\},\{m_1,m_3\},U\}$.

Let the ideal be $I = \{\phi,\{m_4\}\}$.

Hence, the set $\{m_2\}$ is $nI_{g}$-closed but not $nI_{g}\star$-closed.
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