



NANO $\tilde{G}\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS IN NANO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

A. Selvakumar¹ and S. Jafari^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu Arts and Science College Coimbatore-29, Tamilnadu, India.

²College of Vestsjaelland South, Herrestraede 11, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark. Orcid iD: [0000-0001-5744-7354](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5744-7354)

Received: 21 Jan 2020

Accepted: 02 Apr 2020

Published Online: 07 Apr 2020

Abstract: The basic objective of this paper is to introduce and investigate the properties of Nano $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets in Nano topological spaces.

Keywords. $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set, $N\hat{g}$ -closed set, N^*g -closed set and $N^\#gs$ -closed set.

AMS(2000) Subject classification : 54A05,54D10,54F65,54G05.

1. Introduction

It was N. Levine [4] who introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in 1970. The concept of $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets was introduced by R. Devi et al. [2]. In 2013, M. Lellis Thivagar [3] has introduced the important and useful notion of Nano topological space with respect to the subset Ξ of a universe \mathcal{U} . This type of topology is defined by utilizing the notions of approximations and boundary region of a universe by referring to an equivalence relation on it. Moreover, he also offered the new and interesting notions of Nano closed sets, Nano-interior and Nano-closure of a set. He has also introduced, among others, some certain weak forms of Nano open sets such as Nano α -open sets, Nano semi-open sets and Nano pre-open sets. The aim of this paper is to introduce a new class of sets on Nano topological spaces called Nano $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets. Further, we investigate and discuss the relation of this new sets with existing ones.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [3] Let $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ be a finite set of objects called the universe and \mathfrak{R} be an equivalence relation. The elements which belong to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{R})$ is said to be the approximation space. Let $\Xi \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then

- (i) The lower approximation of Ξ w.r.t. \mathfrak{R} is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as Ξ w.r.t. \mathfrak{R} and its denoted by $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ and $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \{\mathfrak{R}(x) : (x) \subseteq \Xi\}$, where $\mathfrak{R}(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by $x \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (ii) The upper approximation of Ξ w.r.t. \mathfrak{R} is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as Ξ w.r.t. \mathfrak{R} and it is denoted by $U_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ which $U_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \{\mathfrak{R}(x) : \mathfrak{R}(x) \cap \Xi \neq \phi\}$.
- (iii) The boundary region of Ξ w.r.t. \mathfrak{R} is the set of all objects which can be classified neither as Ξ nor as not Ξ with respect to \mathfrak{R} and it is denoted by $B_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ and $B_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = U_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) - L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.

Property 2.2. [3] If $(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{R})$ is an approximation space and $\Xi, \Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then

- (i) $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \subseteq \Xi \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.
- (ii) $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\phi) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\phi) = \phi$ and $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi \cup \Lambda) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \cup \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Lambda)$.
- (iv) $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi \cup \Lambda) \supseteq L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \cup L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Lambda)$.
- (v) $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi \cap \Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \cap \mathcal{U}_{<}Re(\Lambda)$.
- (vi) $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi \cap \Lambda) = L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \cap L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Lambda)$.
- (vii) $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \subseteq L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Lambda)$, whenever $\Xi \subseteq \Lambda$.
- (viii) $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi^c) = [L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)]^c$ and $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi^c) = [\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)]^c$.
- (ix) $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = L_{\mathfrak{R}}\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.
- (x) $L_{\mathfrak{R}}L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.

Definition 2.3. [3] Let \mathcal{U} be the universe, \mathfrak{R} be an equivalence relation on \mathcal{U} and $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \{\mathcal{U}, \phi, L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi), \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi), B_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)\}$, where $\Xi \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then by property 2.2, $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) \mathcal{U} and $\phi \in \tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.
- (ii) The union of the elements of any subcollection of $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ is in $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.
- (iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite subcollection of $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ is in $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.

$\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ is a topology on \mathcal{U} called the Nano topology on \mathcal{U} with respect to Ξ . We denote the Nano topological space by $(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi))$ as . The elements of $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ are called Nano open sets.

Remark 2.4. [3] If $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$ is the Nano topology on \mathcal{U} with respect to Ξ , the the set $B = \{\mathcal{U}, L_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi), \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)\}$ is the basis for $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi)$.

Definition 2.5. [3] If $(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi))$ is a Nano topological space with respect to Ξ , where $\Xi \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and if $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then the Nano interior of A is defined as the union of all Nano open subsets of A and it is denoted by $Nint(A)$. That is, $Nint(A)$ is the largest Nano open subset of A . The Nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing A and it is denoted by $Ncl(A)$. $Ncl(A)$ is the smallest Nano closed set containing A .

Definition 2.6. [3] A Nano topological space $(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi))$ is said to be extremely disconnected if the Nano closure of each Nano open set is Nano open.

Definition 2.7. [3] Let $(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi))$ be a Nano topological space and $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then A is said to be

- (i) Nano semi open if $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(A))$
- (ii) Nano α -open if $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint(A)))$

The Nano α -closure of A is defined as the intersection of all Nano α -closed sets containing A and it is denoted by $N\alpha cl(A)$. This means that $N\alpha cl(A)$ is the smallest Nano α -closed set containing A . The Nano semi-closure of A is defined as the intersection of all Nano semi-closed sets containing A and it is denoted by $Nscl(A)$. $Nscl(A)$ is the smallest Nano semi closed set containing A . We recall the following definitions which are useful in the sequel.

Definition 2.8. Let \mathcal{U} be a Nano topological space. A subset A of \mathcal{U} is called

- (a) Nano semi-generalized closed (briefly Nsg -closed) set [1] if $Nscl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and Υ is Nano semi-open in \mathcal{U} ,
- (b) Nano generalized semi-closed (briefly Ngs -closed) set [1] if $Nscl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and Υ is Nano open in \mathcal{U} ,
- (c) Nano α -generalized closed (briefly $N\alpha g$ -closed) set [5] if $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and Υ is Nano open in \mathcal{U} ,
- (d) Nano generalized α -closed (briefly $Ng\alpha$ -closed) set [5] if $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and V is $N\alpha$ -open in \mathcal{U} .

3. Properties of Nano $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets

We introduce the following definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{U} be a Nano topological space. A subset A of \mathcal{U} is called

- (a) Nano \hat{g} -closed set (briefly $N\hat{g}$ -closed) if $Ncl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and Υ is Nano semi-open in \mathcal{U} ,
- (b) Nano *g -closed set (briefly N^*g -closed) if $Ncl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and Υ is $N\hat{g}$ -open in \mathcal{U} ,
- (c) Nano $\#gs$ -closed set (briefly $N\#gs$ -closed) if $Nscl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and Υ is N^*g -open in \mathcal{U} .

In this section, we define and study the forms of Nano $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets.

Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{U} be a Nano topological space. A subset A of \mathcal{U} is called Nano $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set (briefly $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed) if $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$, whenever $A \subseteq \Upsilon$ and Υ is $N\#gs$ -open in \mathcal{U} .

Theorem 3.3.

- (a) Every $N\alpha$ -closed set is a $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set.
- (b) Every $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set is a Ngs -closed set.
- (c) Every $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set is a $Ng\alpha$ -closed set.
- (d) Every $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set is a $N\alpha g$ -closed set.
- (e) Every $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set is a Nsg -closed set.

Proof.

- (a) Let A be an $N\alpha$ -closed set in \mathcal{U} , then $A = N\alpha cl(A)$. Let $A \subseteq \Upsilon$, Υ is $N^\#gs$ -open in \mathcal{U} . Since A is $N\alpha$ -closed, $A = \alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$. This shows that A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set.
- (b) Let A be an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set in \mathcal{U} . Let $A \subseteq \Upsilon$, Υ is a nano open in \mathcal{U} which implies Υ is an $N^\#gs$ -open set. Since A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, $Nscl(A) \subseteq N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$. This shows that A is Ngs -closed set.
- (c) Let A be an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set in \mathcal{U} . Let $A \subseteq \Upsilon$, Υ is an $N\alpha$ -open set in \mathcal{U} which implies Υ is an $N^\#gs$ -open set. Since A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$. This shows that A is an $Ng\alpha$ -closed set.
- (d) Let A be an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set in \mathcal{U} . Let $A \subseteq \Upsilon$, Υ is a Nano open in U which implies Υ is an $N^\#gs$ -open set. Since A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$. This shows that A is an $N\alpha g$ -closed set.
- (e) Let A be an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set in \mathcal{U} . Let $A \subseteq \Upsilon$, Υ is a nano semi open in \mathcal{U} which implies Υ is an $N^\#gs$ -open set. Since A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, $Nscl(A) \subseteq N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$. This shows that A is an Nsg -closed set.

The following examples show that these implications are not reversible.

Example 3.4.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $\mathcal{U}/\mathfrak{R} = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ and $\Xi = \{a, b\}$. Thus the Nano topology, $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \{\mathcal{U}, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, d\}\}$. Then in a space \mathcal{U} , a subset $\{a, b, c\}$ is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set but it is not an $N\alpha$ -closed set.
- (b) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $\mathcal{U}/\mathfrak{R} = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ and $\Xi = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology, $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \{\mathcal{U}, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, d\}\}$. Hence in a space \mathcal{U} , a subset $\{a\}$ is an Ngs -closed set but it is not an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set.
- (c) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $\mathcal{U}/\mathfrak{R} = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ and $\Xi = \{a, b, c\}$. Then the Nano topology, $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \{\mathcal{U}, \phi, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}\}$. In a space \mathcal{U} , a subset $\{a, b, c\}$ is an $Ng\alpha$ -closed set but it is not an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set.
- (d) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $\mathcal{U}/\mathfrak{R} = \{\{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, e\}\}$ and $\Xi = \{a, d\}$. Then the Nano topology, $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \{\mathcal{U}, \phi, \{d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then in a space \mathcal{U} , a subset $\{a, c\}$ is an $N\alpha g$ -closed set but it is not an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set.
- (e) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $\mathcal{U}/\mathfrak{R} = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ and $\Xi = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology, $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) = \{\mathcal{U}, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, d\}\}$. In a space \mathcal{U} , a subset $\{b\}$ is an Nsg -closed set but it is not an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.5. Let A be a subset of a Nano topological space \mathcal{U} . If A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, then $N\alpha cl(A) - A$ does not contain any non-empty $N^\#gs$ -closed set.

Proof. Suppose that A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed and let Φ be a non-empty $N^\#gs$ -closed set with $\Phi \subseteq N\alpha cl(A) - A$. Then $A \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \Phi$ and so $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \Phi$. Hence $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{U} - N\alpha cl(A)$ which is a contradiction.

Theorem 3.6. Let A be a subset of a Nano topological space \mathcal{U} . If A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed and $A \subseteq B \subseteq N\alpha cl(A)$, then B is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed.

Proof. Let Υ be an $N^\#gs$ -open set of \mathcal{U} such that $B \subseteq \Upsilon$. Then $A \subseteq \Upsilon$. Since A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, then

$N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$. Now $N\alpha cl(B) \subseteq N\alpha cl(N\alpha cl(A)) \subseteq \Upsilon$. Therefore B is also an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set of \mathcal{U} .

Theorem 3.7. Let A and B be $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets of a Nano topological space \mathcal{U} . Then $A \cup B$ is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set in \mathcal{U} .

Proof. Let A and B be $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets. Let $A \cup B \subseteq \Upsilon$, where Υ is $N^\#gs$ -open. Since A and B are $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed sets, $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$ and $N\alpha cl(B) \subseteq \Upsilon$. This implies that $N\alpha cl(A \cup B) = N\alpha cl(A) \cup N\alpha cl(B) \subseteq \Upsilon$ and so $N\alpha cl(A \cup B) \subseteq \Upsilon$. Therefore $A \cup B$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed.

Lemma 3.8. For any space \mathcal{U} , $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \cup \mathcal{U}_{N\tilde{g}\alpha o}$ holds.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not $N^\#gs$ -closed set in \mathcal{U} . Then \mathcal{U} is a unique $N^\#gs$ -open set containing $\mathcal{U} - \{x\}$. Thus $\mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} and so $\{x\}$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open. Therefore $x \in \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \cup \mathcal{U}_{N\tilde{g}\alpha o}$ holds.

We need more notations:

For a subset A of \mathcal{U} , $ker(A) = \cap \{\Upsilon / \Upsilon \in \tau_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Xi) \text{ and } A \subseteq \Upsilon\}$;

$N^\#GSO-ker(A) = \cap \{\Upsilon / \Upsilon \in N^\#GSO(\mathcal{U}) \text{ and } A \subseteq \Upsilon\}$.

Theorem 3.9. For a subset A of \mathcal{U} , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} .
- (2) $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq N^\#GSO-ker(A)$ holds.
- (3) (i) $N\alpha cl(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \subseteq A$ and (ii) $N\alpha cl(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gso} \subseteq N^\#GSO-ker(A)$ holds.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \notin N^\#GSO-ker(A)$. Then there exists a set $\Upsilon \in N^\#GSO(\mathcal{U})$ such that $x \notin \Upsilon$ and $A \subseteq \Upsilon$. Since A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$ and so $x \notin N\alpha cl(A)$. This shows that $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq N^\#GSO-ker(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\Upsilon \in N^\#GSO(\mathcal{U})$ such that $A \subseteq \Upsilon$. Then, we have that $N^\#GSO-ker(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$ and so by (2) $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \Upsilon$. Therefore A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) (i) First we claim that $N^\#GSO-ker(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \subseteq A$. Indeed, let $x \in N^\#GSO-ker(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc}$ and assume that $x \notin A$. Since the set $\mathcal{U} - \{x\} \in N^\#GSO(\mathcal{U})$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \{x\}$, $N^\#GSO-ker(A) \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \{x\}$. Then we have that $x \in \mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ and so this is a contradiction. Thus we show that $N^\#GSO-ker(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \subseteq A$.

By using (2), $N\alpha cl(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \subseteq N^\#GSO-ker(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \subseteq A$.

(ii) It is obtained by (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (2) By Lemma 3.8 and (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 N\alpha cl(A) &= N\alpha cl(A) \cap \mathcal{U} = N\alpha cl(A) \cap (\mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc} \cup \mathcal{U}_{N\tilde{g}\alpha o}) \\
 &= (N\alpha cl(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N^\#gsc}) \cup (N\alpha cl(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_{N\tilde{g}\alpha o}) \\
 &= A \cup N^\#GSO-ker(A) \\
 &= N^\#GSO-ker(A) \text{ holds.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.10. Let \mathcal{U} be a Nano topological space and $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

- (a) If A is $N^\#gs$ -open and $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, then A is α -closed in \mathcal{U} .

- (b) Suppose that \mathcal{U} is an $N\alpha$ -space. An $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set A is $N\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} if and only if $N\alpha cl(A) - A$ is $N\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} .
- (c) For each $x \in \mathcal{U}$, $\{x\}$ is $N^\sharp gs$ -closed or $\mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} .
- (d) Every subset is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} if and only if $N^\sharp gs$ -open set is $N\alpha$ -closed.

Proof.

- (b) (Necessity) If A is $N\alpha$ -closed, then $N\alpha cl(A) - A = \phi$.
 (Sufficiency) Suppose that A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed and $N\alpha cl(A) - A$ is $N\alpha$ -closed. Then, $N\alpha cl(A) - A$ is $N^\sharp gs$ -closed in \mathcal{U} and by Theorem 3.5, $N\alpha cl(A) - A = \phi$. Therefore A is $N\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} .
- (c) If $\{x\}$ is not $N^\sharp gs$ -closed, then $\mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ is not $N^\sharp gs$ -open. Therefore $\mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed in \mathcal{U} .
- (d) (Necessity) Let Υ be an $N^\sharp gs$ -open set. Then we have that $N\alpha cl(\Upsilon) \subseteq \Upsilon$ and hence Υ is $N\alpha$ -closed.
 (Sufficiency) Let A be a subset and Υ is an $N^\sharp gs$ -open set such that $A \subseteq \Upsilon$. Then $N\alpha cl(A) \subseteq N\alpha cl(\Upsilon) = \Upsilon$ and hence A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed.

4. Properties of Nano $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open sets

Definition 4.1. A subset A of a Nano topological space \mathcal{U} is called Nano $\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set (briefly $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open) if A^c is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed.

Theorem 4.2. A subset $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open if and only if $\Phi \subseteq N\alpha int(A)$ whenever Φ is $N^\sharp gs$ -closed set and $\Phi \subseteq A$.

Proof. Let A be an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set and suppose $\Phi \subseteq A$, where Φ is $N^\sharp gs$ -closed. Then $\mathcal{U} - A$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set contained in $N^\sharp gs$ -open set $\mathcal{U} - \Phi$. Hence $N\alpha cl(\mathcal{U} - A) \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \Phi$ and $\mathcal{U} - N\alpha int(A) \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \Phi$. Thus $\Phi \subseteq N\alpha int(A)$.

Conversely, if Φ is $N^\sharp gs$ -closed set with $\Phi \subseteq N\alpha int(A)$ and $\Phi \subseteq A$, then $\mathcal{U} - N\alpha int(A) \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \Phi$. Thus $N\alpha cl(\mathcal{U} - A) \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \Phi$. Hence $\mathcal{U} - A$ is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed set and A is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set.

Theorem 4.3. Let A and B be subsets of a Nano topological space \mathcal{U} . If $N\alpha int(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open, then B is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open.

Proof. Let $N\alpha int(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$. Then $A^c \subseteq B^c \subseteq N\alpha cl(A^c)$, where A^c is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed and hence B^c is also $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed by Theorem 3.6. Therefore, B is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open.

Theorem 4.4. Let A be a subset of a Nano topological space \mathcal{U} . If A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed, then $N\alpha cl(A) - A$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open.

Proof. Let A be $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -closed and Φ be $N^\sharp gs$ -closed such that $\Phi \subseteq N\alpha cl(A) - A$. Then $\Phi = \phi$ by Theorem 3.5. Therefore $\Phi \subseteq N\alpha int(N\alpha cl(A) - A)$. Hence $N\alpha cl(A) - A$ is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open.

Definition 4.5. Let \mathcal{U} be a Nano topological space and $x \in \mathcal{U}$. A subset N of \mathcal{U} is said to be $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -neighbourhood of x if there exists an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set G such that $x \in G \subseteq N$.

Definition 4.6.

$$(a) \quad N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) = \bigcup \left\{ B : B \text{ is } N\tilde{g}\alpha\text{-open set and } B \subseteq A \right\}.$$

$$(b) \quad N\tilde{g}\alpha cl(A) = \bigcap \left\{ B : B \text{ is } N\tilde{g}\alpha\text{-closed set and } A \subseteq B \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.7. Let A and B be subsets of \mathcal{U} . Then

- (a) $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ and $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(\phi) = \phi$.
- (b) $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \subseteq A$.
- (c) If B is any $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set contained in A , then $B \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A)$.
- (d) If $A \subseteq B$, then $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(B)$.

Proof.

- (a) Since \mathcal{U} and ϕ are $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open sets, by definition $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(\mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \left\{ B : B \text{ is } N\tilde{g}\alpha\text{-open set and } B \subseteq \mathcal{U} \right\} = \mathcal{U}$. Since ϕ is the only $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set contained in ϕ , $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(\phi) = \phi$.
- (b) Let $x \in N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \Rightarrow x$ is a $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ interior of $A \Rightarrow A$ is a $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -neighbourhood of $x \Rightarrow x \in A$. Thus $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \subseteq A$.
- (c) Let B be any $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set such that $B \subseteq A$. Let $x \in B$. Since B is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set contained in A , x is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -interior point of A . That is B is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(B)$. Hence $B \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A)$.
- (d) Let A and B be subsets of \mathcal{U} such that $A \subseteq B$. Let $x \in N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A)$. Then x is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -interior point of A and so A is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -neighbourhood of x . This implies that $x \in N\tilde{g}\alpha int(B)$. Hence $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(B)$.

Theorem 4.8. If a subset A of a nano topological space \mathcal{U} is $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open, then $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) = A$.

Proof. Let A be an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open subset of \mathcal{U} . We know that $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \subseteq A$. Also A is an $N\tilde{g}\alpha$ -open set contained in A . By Theorem 4.7 (c), $A \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A)$. Hence $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) = A$.

Theorem 4.9. If A and B are subsets of \mathcal{U} , then $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \cup N\tilde{g}\alpha int(B) \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A \cup B)$.

Proof. We know that $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$. By Theorem 4.7 (d), $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A \cup B)$ and $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(B) \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A \cup B)$. This implies that $N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A) \cup N\tilde{g}\alpha int(B) \subseteq N\tilde{g}\alpha int(A \cup B)$.

References

- [1] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Ezhilarasi, On Nano semigeneralized and Nano generalized semi-closed sets, *IJMCAR*, 2014, 4(3), 117-124.
- [2] R. Devi, A. Selvakumar and S. Jafari, $\tilde{G}\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces, *Asia Mathematica*, 2019 (to appear).
- [3] M. Lellis Thivagar, Carmel Richard, On Nano forms of weakly open sets, *International Journal of Mathematical and Statistics Invention*, 2013, 1(1), 31-37.

- [4] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo*, 19(2)(1970),89-96.
- [5] R. Thanga Nachiyar and K. Bhuvanewari, On Nano Generalized α -Closed Sets and Nano α -Generalized Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)*, Volume 13 Number 6, 2014, 257-260.