



Novel concept of ideal nanotopological spaces

O. Nethaji¹, R. Asokan² and I. Rajasekaran^{3*}

¹Research Scholar, School of Mathematics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.
 Orchid id: [0000-0002-2004-3521](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2004-3521)

²Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.
 Orchid id: [0000-0002-0992-1426](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0992-1426)

³Department of Mathematics, Tirunelveli Dakshina Mara Nadar Sangam College, T. Kallikulam - 627 113,
 Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.Orchid id: [0000-0001-8528-4396](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8528-4396)

Received: 23 Aug 2019

Accepted: 05 Dec 2019

Published Online: 31 Dec 201

Abstract: In this paper is to introduce and study the concepts of \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -sets, I_n - \mathcal{O} -sets, \mathcal{H}^{**} - I_n -sets, I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -sets, \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -sets and \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -sets in ideal nanotopological spaces.

Key words: \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set, I_n - \mathcal{O} -set, \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set, pre * - I_n -open set, semi * - I_n -open set, α^* - I_n -open set

1. Introduction

The concept of nano topology was introduced by Lellis Thivagar et al (2013). which was defined in terms of approximations and boundary region of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it and also defined nano closed sets, nano-interior and nano-closure.

K. Bhuvaneswari et al (2014) introduced and studied the concept of nano generalised closed sets in nano topological spaces.

M. Parimala et.al (2017) introduced nano ideal generalized closed sets further developed. Rajasekaran el.al (2018) introduced Simple forms of nano open sets in an ideal nano topological spaces. Nethaji et.al (2019)introduced smei * - I_n -open stes in ideal nanotopological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -sets, I_n - \mathcal{O} -sets, \mathcal{H}^{**} - I_n -sets, I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -sets, \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -sets and \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -sets in an ideal nanotopological spaces.

2. Preliminaries

In the rest of the paper, we denote a nano topological space by (U, \mathcal{N}) , where $\mathcal{N} = \tau_R(X)$. The nano-interior and nano-closure of a subset A of U are denoted by $I_n(A)$ and $C_n(A)$, respectively.

we denote a ideal nanotopological space by (U, \mathcal{N}, I) . The nano-interior and nano-closure of a subset A of U are denoted by $I_n^*(A)$ and $C_n^*(A)$, respectively

Proposition 2.1. [5] *A subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) is semi- I_n -open $\iff C_n(A) = C_n(I_n^*(A))$.*

Proposition 2.2. [10] *The intersection of a pre- I_n -open and n -open is pre- I_n -open.*

Lemma 2.1. [10] Let (U, \mathcal{N}, I) be a space and A subset of U . If H is n -open in (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , then $H \cap C_n^*(A) \subset C_n^*(H \cap A)$.

Definition 2.1. [7] A subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) is $n\star$ -dense in itself (resp. $n\star$ -perfect and $n\star$ -closed) if $A \subseteq A_n^*$ (resp. $A = A_n^*$, $A_n^* \subseteq A$).

Definition 2.2. A subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , is called a

1. n -dense [12] if $C_n(A) = U$,
2. n -submaximal [12] if each n -dense subset of U is n -open.
3. nano nowhere dense (briefly, n -nowhere dense) [3] if $I_n(C_n(A)) = \phi$.
4. nano locally closed (briefly, n -LC) [1] if $A = H \cap K$, where H is n -open and K is n -closed.

3. On novel subsets of (U, \mathcal{N}, I)

Definition 3.1. A subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) is called a

1. nano pre^* - I -closed (written in short as pre^* - I_n -closed) if $C_n^*(I_n(A)) \subset A$.
2. nano α^* - I -closed (written in short as α^* - I_n -closed) if $C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(A))) \subset A$.
3. nano I - t -set (written in short as I_n - t -set) if $I_n^*(A) = I_n^*(C_n(A))$.
4. nano pre^* - I -regular (written in short as pre^* - I_n -regular) if A is pre - I_n -open and pre^* - I_n -closed
5. nano \mathcal{R}^* - I -set (written in short as \mathcal{R}^* - I_n -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is $n\star$ -open set and Q is I_n - t -set

Example 3.1. Let $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ and $X = \{1, 3\}$. After that $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, U\}$. Let the ideal be $I = \{\phi, \{3\}\}$.

1. $A = \{1, 4\}$ is pre^* - I_n -closed, α^* - I_n -closed, I_n - t -set and \mathcal{R}^* - I_n -set.
2. $B = \{1, 2, 4\}$ is pre^* - I_n -regular.

Proposition 3.1. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,

1. $n\star$ -open \Rightarrow pre^* - I_n -open.
2. n -open \Rightarrow pre^* - I_n -open.

Proof. (i) Let A be a $n\star$ -open set in U . After that $A = I_n^*(A) \subset I_n^*(C_n(A))$. As a result A is pre^* - I_n -open in U .

(ii) Let A be a n -open set. After that A is $n\star$ -open. By (i), A is pre^* - I_n -open.

Example 3.2. In Example 3.1,

1. $A = \{3\}$ is not $n\star$ -open but pre^* - I_n -open.
2. $B = \{1, 2\}$ is not n -open but pre^* - I_n -open.

Proposition 3.2. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each nano pre -open set is pre^* - I_n -open.

Proof. Let A be nano pre-open set in U . After that $A \subset I_n(C_n(A)) \subset I_n^*(C_n(A))$. As a result A is pre^*-I_n -open in U .

Example 3.3. Let $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $U/R = \{\{2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ and $X = \{3, 4\}$. After that $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, U\}$. Let the ideal be $I = \{\phi, \{4\}\}$. As a result $\{1, 2\}$ is not nano pre-open but pre^*-I_n -open.

Proposition 3.3. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , a subset A of U is semi- I_n -closed in $U \iff A$ is I_n -t-set in U .

Proof. A is semi- I_n -closed in $U \iff U - A$ is semi- I_n -open in $U \iff C_n^*(U - A) = C_n^*(I_n(U - A))$ by Proposition 2.1 $\iff U - I_n^*(A) = C_n^*(U - C_n(A)) = U - I_n^*(C_n(A)) \iff I_n^*(A) = I_n^*(C_n(A)) \iff A$ is I_n -t-set in U .

Remark 3.1. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,

1. n^* -open set $\implies \mathcal{R}^*-I_n$ -set.
2. each I_n -t-set $\implies \mathcal{R}^*-I_n$ -set.

Remark 3.2. The converses of the Remark 3.1 is not true as shown in the coming Example.

Example 3.4. In Example 3.1,

1. $A = \{4\}$ is not n^* -open but \mathcal{R}^*-I_n -set.
2. $B = \{1, 2\}$ is not I_n -t-set but \mathcal{R}^*-I_n -set.

Proposition 3.4. For a subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , the following are equivalent:

1. A is n^* -open.
2. A is pre^*-I_n -open & \mathcal{R}^*-I_n -set.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): (2) follows by Proposition 3.1(1) and Remark 3.1(1).

(2) \implies (1): Given A is \mathcal{R}^*-I_n -set. So $A = P \cap Q$ where P is n^* -open and $I_n^*(Q) = I_n^*(C_n(Q))$. After that $A \subset P = I_n^*(P)$. Furthermore A is pre^*-I_n -open implies $A \subset I_n^*(C_n(A)) \subset I_n^*(C_n(Q)) = I_n^*(Q)$ by assumption. Thus $A \subset I_n^*(P) \cap I_n^*(Q) = I_n^*(P \cap Q) = I_n^*(A)$ and hence A is n^* -open.

Remark 3.3. In a space the family of pre^*-I_n -open sets & the family of \mathcal{R}^*-I_n -sets are independent.

Example 3.5. In Example 3.1,

1. $A = \{3\}$ is not \mathcal{R}^*-I_n -set but pre^*-I_n -open.
2. $B = \{4\}$ is not pre^*-I_n -open but \mathcal{R}^*-I_n -set.

Proposition 3.5. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,

1. n^* -open $\implies \alpha^*-I_n$ -open.
2. n -open $\implies \alpha^*-I_n$ -open.

Proof. (1) Let A be a $n\star$ -open set in U . After that $A = I_n^\star(A) \subset C_n(I_n^\star(A))$. It implies that $A = I_n^\star(A) \subset I_n^\star(C_n(I_n^\star(A)))$. As a result A is α^\star - I_n -open in U .

(2) Let A be n -open set U . After that A is $n\star$ -open. By (1), A is α^\star - I_n -open.

Example 3.6. In Example 3.1,

1. $A = \{3, 4\}$ is not $n\star$ -open but α^\star - I_n -open.
2. $B = \{1, 2, 4\}$ is not n -open but α^\star - I_n -open.

Proposition 3.6. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each α^\star - I_n -open is pre^\star - I_n -open.

Proof. Let A be a α^\star - I_n -open set in U . After that $A \subset I_n^\star(C_n(I_n^\star(A))) \subset I_n^\star(C_n(A))$. As a result A is pre^\star - I_n -open in U .

Example 3.7. In Example 3.1, then $\{3\}$ is not α^\star - I_n -open but pre^\star - I_n -open.

Proposition 3.7. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each nano α -open is α^\star - I_n -open.

Proof. Let A be a nano α -open set in U . After that $A \subset I_n(C_n(I_n(A))) \subset I_n^\star(C_n(I_n^\star(A)))$. As a result A is a α^\star - I_n -open set in U .

Example 3.8. In Example 3.1, then $\{2\}$ is not nano α -open but α^\star - I_n -open.

Proposition 3.8. Let A be a subset of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) .

1. If $A = C_n^\star(I_n(A))$, After that A is α^\star - I_n -closed in U .
2. If $A = n-cl(I_n(A))$, After that A is α^\star - I_n -closed in U .

Proof. (1) If $A = C_n^\star(I_n(A))$, after that we obtain that $C_n^\star(I_n(C_n^\star(A))) = C_n^\star(I_n(C_n^\star(I_n(A)))) = C_n^\star(I_n(A)) = A$. As a result A is a α^\star - I_n -closed set in U .

(2) If $A = C_n(I_n(A))$, after that we obtain that $C_n^\star(I_n(C_n^\star(A))) = C_n^\star(I_n(C_n^\star(C_n(I_n(A)))) \subset C_n(I_n(C_n(I_n(A)))) = C_n(I_n(A)) = A$. As a result A is an α^\star - I_n -closed set in U .

Remark 3.4. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,

1. pre^\star - I_n -regular $\implies pre$ - I_n -open.
2. pre^\star - I_n -regular $\implies pre^\star$ - I_n -closed.

The converses of Remark 3.4 is not true as shown in the coming Examples.

Example 3.9. In Example 3.1,

1. $A = \{3\}$ is not pre^\star - I_n -regular but pre - I_n -open.
2. $B = \{4\}$ is not pre^\star - I_n -regular but pre^\star - I_n -closed.

Proposition 3.9. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each α^\star - I_n -open set is $semi^\star$ - I_n -open.

Proof. Let A be a α^\star - I_n -open set in U . Then $A \subset I_n^\star(C_n(I_n^\star(A))) \subset C_n(I_n^\star(A))$. Thus A is $semi^\star$ - I_n -open in U .

Example 3.10. In Example 3.1, then $\{1, 4\}$ is not α^* - I_n -open but semi^* - I_n -open.

Theorem 3.1. Let A be a subset of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) . After that A is α^* - I_n -open $\iff A$ is semi^* - I_n -open and pre^* - I_n -open.

Proof. Let A be a α^* - I_n -open set in U . Then $A \subset I_n^*(C_n(I_n^*(A)))$. It follows that $A \subset C_n(I_n^*(A))$ and $A \subset I_n^*(C_n(A))$. As a result, A is semi^* - I_n -open and pre^* - I_n -open.

Conversely, suppose that A is semi^* - I_n -open and pre^* - I_n -open in U . After that $A \subset C_n(I_n^*(A))$ and $A \subset I_n^*(C_n(A))$. It follows that $A \subset I_n^*(C_n(A)) \subset I_n^*(C_n(I_n^*(A)))$ which implies that A is α^* - I_n -open in U .

Remark 3.5. In a space the family of semi^* - I_n -open sets and the family of pre^* - I_n -open sets are independent.

Example 3.11. In Example 3.1,

1. $A = \{1, 4\}$ is not pre^* - I_n -open but semi^* - I_n -open.
2. $B = \{3\}$ is not semi^* - I_n -open but pre^* - I_n -open.

4. On novel an ideal nano sets

Definition 4.1. A subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) is called a

1. nano \mathcal{O}_p^* - I -set (written in short as \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open and Q is pre^* - I_n -regular set.
2. nano \mathcal{O}^{**} - I -set (written in short as \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n^* -open and Q is pre^* - I_n -regular set.
3. nano I - \mathcal{O} -set (written in short as I_n - \mathcal{O} -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open and Q is pre^* - I_n -closed.
4. nano I - \mathcal{O}_p^* -set (written in short as I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n^* -open and Q is pre^* - I_n -closed.
5. nano α - I -set (written in short as α - I_n -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open and Q is α^* - I_n -closed.
6. nano α^* - I -set (written in short as α^* - I_n -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n^* -open and Q is α^* - I_n -closed.
7. nano \mathcal{H}^* - I -set (written in short as \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open and $Q = C_n^*(I_n(Q))$.
8. nano \mathcal{H}^{**} - I -set (written in short as \mathcal{H}^{**} - I_n -set) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is an n^* -open and $Q = C_n(I_n(Q))$.

Example 4.1. In Example 3.3, after that $\{1, 3\}$ is \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set, \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -set, I_n - \mathcal{O} -set, I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -set, α - I_n -set, α^* - I_n -set, \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set and \mathcal{H}^{**} - I_n -set.

Remark 4.1. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,

1. each n -open set is \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set.
2. each pre^* - I_n -regular set is \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set.

The converses of Remark 4.1 is not true as shown in the following Example.

Example 4.2. In Example 3.3,

1. $A = \{3, 4\}$ is not n -open but \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set.
2. $B = \{1, 3\}$ is not pre^* - I_n -regular but \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set.

Theorem 4.1. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set is pre- I_n -open.*

Proof. Let A be \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set in U . It follows that $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open and Q is pre * - I_n -regular in U . By Remark 3.4(1), Q is pre- I_n -open. Since Q is pre- I_n -open, by Proposition 2.2, $A = P \cap Q$ is pre- I_n -open set in U .

Example 4.3. *Let $U = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $U/R = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ and $X = \{1, 3\}$. After that $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{2, 3\}, U\}$. Let the ideal be $I = \{\phi, \{2\}\}$. As a result $\{1, 3\}$ is not \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set but pre- I_n -open.*

Remark 4.2. *The following diagram holds for any subset of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{pre}^* - I_n - \text{regular} & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n - \text{set} & \longrightarrow & \text{pre-} I_n - \text{open} \end{array}$$

Remark 4.3. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,*

1. *each pre * - I_n -closed is I_n - \mathcal{O} -set.*
2. *each α^* - I_n -closed is a α - I_n -set.*
3. *for a subset A of U if $A = C_n^*(I_n(A))$, then A is \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set.*

Example 4.4. *In Example 3.3,*

1. *$A = \{1, 3, 4\}$ is not pre * - I_n -closed but I_n - \mathcal{O} -set.*
2. *$B = \{1, 3\}$ is not α^* - I_n -closed but α - I_n -set.*

Remark 4.4. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each n -open set is \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set.*

Example 4.5. *In Example 3.3, then $\{1, 2, 3\}$ is not n -open but \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set.*

Remark 4.5. *The following diagram holds for any subset of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n - \text{set} & \longrightarrow & I_n - \mathcal{O} - \text{set} \\ & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{H}^* - I_n - \text{set} & \longrightarrow & \alpha - I_n - \text{set} \end{array}$$

Remark 4.6. *The reverse implications in Remark 4.5 are not true as shown in the following Example.*

Example 4.6. *In Example 3.3,*

1. *$A = \{2\}$ is not \mathcal{O}_p^* - I_n -set but I_n - \mathcal{O} -set.*
2. *$B = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is not α - I_n -set but I_n - \mathcal{O} -set.*
3. *$C = \{2, 4\}$ is not \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set but α - I_n -set.*

Theorem 4.2. *For a subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , the following are equivalent:*

1. *A is I_n - \mathcal{O} -set and semi- I_n -open in U .*
2. *$A = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(A))$ for n -open P .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Since A is I_n - \mathcal{O} -set, $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open and Q is pre^* - I_n -closed in U . We have $A \subset P$ and $C_n^*(I_n(A)) \subset C_n^*(I_n(P)) \subset P$ since P is pre^* - I_n -closed in U . Since A is semi- I_n -open in U , we have $A \subset C_n^*(I_n(A))$. It follows that $A = A \cap C_n^*(I_n(A)) = P \cap Q \cap C_n^*(I_n(A)) = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(A))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $A = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(A))$ for n -open set P . Then $A \subset C_n^*(I_n(A))$ and thus A is semi- I_n -open in U . Since $C_n^*(I_n(A))$ is n^* -closed set, by Proposition 3.1(1), it is pre^* - I_n -closed set in U . As a result, A is I_n - \mathcal{O} -set in U .

Theorem 4.3. *For a subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , the following are equivalent:*

1. A is \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set.
2. A is semi- I_n -open and α - I_n -set.
3. A is semi- I_n -open and I_n - \mathcal{O} -set.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that A is \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set in U . It follows that $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open set and $Q = C_n^*(I_n(Q))$. This implies that $A = P \cap Q = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(Q)) \subset C_n^*(P \cap I_n(Q))$ (by Lemma 2.1) $= C_n^*(\text{int}(P) \cap I_n(Q)) \subset C_n^*(I_n(P) \cap I_n(Q)) = C_n^*(I_n(P \cap Q)) = C_n^*(I_n(A))$. As a result $A \subset C_n^*(I_n(A))$ and hence A is semi- I_n -open set. Moreover, by Remark 4.5, A is α - I_n -set in U .

(2) \Rightarrow (3): It follows from the fact that each α - I_n -set is I_n - \mathcal{O} -set in U by Remark 4.5.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose A is semi- I_n -open and I_n - \mathcal{O} -set in U . By Theorem 4.2, $A = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(A))$ for n -open set P . We have $C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(I_n(A)))) = C_n^*(I_n(A))$. It follows that A is \mathcal{H}^* - I_n -set in U .

Remark 4.7. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,*

1. each n^* -open set is \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -set.
2. each pre^* - I_n -regular set is \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -set.

The converses of Remark 4.7 are not true as shown in the coming Example.

Example 4.7. *In Example 3.3,*

1. $A = \{1, 4\}$ is not n^* -open but \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -set.
2. $B = \{1, 3, 4\}$ is not pre^* - I_n -regular but \mathcal{O}^{**} - I_n -set.

Remark 4.8. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,*

1. each pre^* - I_n -closed is I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -set.
2. each α^* - I_n -closed is α^* - I_n -set.
3. For a subset A of U if $A = C_n(I_n(A))$, as a result A is \mathcal{H}^{**} - I_n -set.

Example 4.8. *In Example 3.3,*

1. $A = \{2\}$ is not pre^* - I_n -closed but I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -set.
2. $B = \{1, 3, 4\}$ is not α^* - I_n -closed but α^* - I_n -set.

Remark 4.9. *The following diagram holds for any subset of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set} & \longrightarrow & I_n\text{-}\mathcal{O}_p^*\text{-set} \\ & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set} & \longrightarrow & \alpha^*\text{-}I_n\text{-set} \end{array}$$

Remark 4.10. *The reverse implications in Remark 4.9 are not true as shown in the coming Example.*

Example 4.9. *In Example 3.3,*

1. $A = \{2\}$ is not $\mathcal{O}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$ but $I_n\text{-}\mathcal{O}_p^*\text{-set}$.
2. $B = \{1, 4\}$ is not $\alpha^*\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$ but $I_n\text{-}\mathcal{O}_p^*\text{-set}$.

Example 4.10. *In Example 4.3, then $\{4\}$ is not $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$ but $\alpha^*\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$.*

Remark 4.11. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each $n\star\text{-open}$ set is $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$.*

Example 4.11. *In Example 3.3, then $\{2, 4\}$ is not $n\star\text{-open}$ but $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$.*

Proposition 4.1. *For a subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , the following are equivalent:*

1. A is $n\star\text{-open}$.
2. A is $\alpha^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$.
3. A is $\text{pre}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): (2) follows by Proposition 3.5(1) and Remark 4.11.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): (3) follows by Proposition 3.6.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose A is $\text{pre}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$. Since A is $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$, we have $A = P \cap Q$, where P is $n\star\text{-open}$ set and $Q = C_n(I_n(Q))$. It follows that $I_n^*(C_n(Q)) \subset C_n(Q) \subset C_n(Q) = C_n(C_n(I_n(Q))) = C_n(I_n(Q)) = Q$. This implies that Q is semi- $I_n\text{-closed}$. By Proposition 3.3, Q is $I_n\text{-}t\text{-set}$ in U . By Definition 3.1(5), A is $\mathcal{R}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$. Since A is $\text{pre}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ and $\mathcal{R}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$, A is $n\star\text{-open}$ by Proposition 3.4.

Remark 4.12. *The coming Example shows that*

1. *In a space the family of $\alpha^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ and the family of $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-sets}$ are independent.*
2. *In a space the family of $\text{pre}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ and the family of $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-sets}$ are independent.*

Example 4.12. *In Example 3.3,*

1. $A = \{2, 3\}$ is not $\alpha^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ but $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$.
2. $B = \{2, 4\}$ is not $\text{pre}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$ but $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$.
3. $C = \{1\}$ is not $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$ but $\text{pre}^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$.

Example 4.13. *In Example 4.3, then $\{3\}$ is not $\mathcal{H}^{**}\text{-}I_n\text{-set}$ but $\alpha^*\text{-}I_n\text{-open}$.*

Theorem 4.4. *For a subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , the following are equivalent:*

1. A is $I_n\text{-}\mathcal{O}_p^*\text{-set}$ and semi- $I_n\text{-open}$ in U .
2. $A = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(A))$ for $n\star\text{-open}$ in P .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Since A is I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -set, $A = P \cap Q$, where P is $n\star$ -open and Q is pre^* - I_n -closed in U . We have $A \subset P$ and $C_n^*(I_n(A)) \subset C_n^*(I_n(P)) \subset P$ since P is pre^* - I_n -closed in U . Since A is semi- I_n -open in U , we have $A \subset C_n^*(I_n(A))$. It follows that $A = A \cap C_n^*(I_n(A)) = P \cap Q \cap C_n^*(I_n(A)) = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(A))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $A = P \cap C_n^*(I_n(A))$ for $n\star$ -open in P . Then $A \subset C_n^*(I_n(A))$ and thus A is semi- I_n -open in U . Since $C_n^*(I_n(A))$ is $n\star$ -closed, by Proposition 3.1(1), it is pre^* - I_n -closed in U . Hence, A is I_n - \mathcal{O}_p^* -set in U .

5. Various style of an ideal nano locally closed sets

Definition 5.1. A subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) is called

1. nano locally I -closed (written in short as I_n -LC) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is n -open and Q is n -closed.
2. nano locally I^* -closed (written in short as I_n^* -LC) if $A = P \cap Q$, where P is $n\star$ -open and Q is n -closed.
3. nano \star - I -locally closed set (written in short as \star - I_n -LC) if $A = P \cap Q$ where P is $n\star$ -open and Q is $n\star$ -closed.
4. weakly nano I -locally closed set (written in short as \mathcal{W} - I_n -LC) if $A = P \cap Q$ where P is n -open and Q is $n\star$ -closed.
5. nano I^* -submaximal (written in short as I_n^* -submaximal) if every n -dense subset of U is $n\star$ -open.

Example 5.1. In Example 3.3, then $\{1, 3\}$ is I_n -LC, I_n^* -LC, \star - I_n -LC, \mathcal{W} - I_n -LC and I_n^* -submaximal.

Remark 5.1. In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) ,

1. each $n\star$ -open is I_n^* -LC.
2. each n -closed is I_n^* -LC.

The converses of Remark 5.1 are not true as shown in the coming Example.

Example 5.2. In Example 3.3,

1. $A = \{2\}$ is not $n\star$ -open but I_n^* -LC.
2. $B = \{1, 3\}$ is not n -closed but I_n^* -LC.

Theorem 5.1. For a subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , the following are equivalent,

1. A is \mathcal{W} - I_n -LC,
2. $A = G \cap C_n^*(A)$ for few n -open in G ,
3. $C_n^*(A) - A = A_n^* - A$ is n -closed,
4. $(U - A_n^*) \cup A = A \cup (U - C_n^*(A))$ is n -open,
5. $A \subset I_n(A \cup (U - A_n^*))$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If A is \mathcal{W} - I_n -LC, then there exist n -open G and $n\star$ -closed, K such that $A = G \cap K$. But $A \subset G \cap C_n^*(A)$. Since K is $n\star$ -closed, $C_n^*(A) \subset C_n^*(K) = K$ and so $G \cap C_n^*(A) \subset G \cap K = A$. There fore $A = G \cap C_n^*(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Now $A_n^* - A = A_n^* \cap (U - A) = A_n^* \cap (U - (G \cap n-cl^*(A))) = A_n^* \cap (U - G)$. Therefore, $A_n^* - A$ is closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Since $U - (A_n^* - A) = (U - A_n^*) \cup A$, $(U - A_n^*) \cup A$ is n -open. But, $(U - A_n^*) \cup A = AU(U - C_n^*(A))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) is clear.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) $U - A_n^* = I_n(U - A_n^*) \subset I_n(A \cup (U - A_n^*))$ which implies that $A \cup (U - A_n^*) \subset I_n(A \cup (U - A_n^*))$ and so $A \cup (U - A_n^*)$ is n -open. Since $A = (A \cup (U - A_n^*)) \cap C_n^*(A)$, A is \mathcal{W} - I_n -LC.

Proposition 5.1. *For a subset A of a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , the following are equivalent:*

1. A is $n\star$ -open.
2. A is pre^* - I_n -open and I_n^* -LC.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): (2) follows by Proposition 3.1(1) and Remark 5.1(1).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Given A is locally I_n^* -closed. So $A = P \cap Q$ where P is $n\star$ -open and $Q = C_n(Q)$. Then $A \subset P = I_n^*(P)$. Also A is pre^* - I_n -open implies $A \subset I_n^*(C_n(A)) \subset I_n^*(C_n(Q)) = I_n^*(Q)$ by assumption. Thus $A \subset I_n^*(P) \cap I_n^*(Q) = I_n^*(P \cap Q) = I_n^*(A)$ and hence A is $n\star$ -open.

Remark 5.2. *In a space the family of pre^* - I_n -open and the family of locally I_n^* -closed(I_n^* -LC)sets are independent.*

Example 5.3. *In Example 3.3,*

1. $A = \{1\}$ is not I_n^* -LC but pre^* - I_n -open.
2. $B = \{2\}$ is not pre^* - I_n -open but I_n^* -LC.

Proposition 5.2. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each n -LC is I_n^* -LC.*

Proof. It follows from the facts that n -closed and each n -open is $n\star$ -open. The converse of Proposition 5.2 is not true as shown in the coming Example.

Example 5.4. *In Example 4.3, then $\{3\}$ is not n -LC but I_n^* -LC.*

Proposition 5.3. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each n -submaximal is I_n^* -submaximal.*

Proof. Let A be n -dense in U . After that $U = C_n(A) \subset C_n(A)$ and $U = C_n(A)$. Thus A is n -dense in U . Since U is n -submaximal, A is n -open and hence $n\star$ -open in U . Hence, U is I_n^* -submaximal.

Example 5.5. *In Example 3.3, then $\{4\}$ is not n -submaximal but I_n^* -submaximal.*

Proposition 5.4. *In a space (U, \mathcal{N}, I) , each $n\star$ -open set is $semi^*$ - I_n -open.*

Proof. Let A be $n\star$ -open set in U . After that $A = I_n^*(A) \subset C_n(I_n^*(A))$. As a result A is $semi^*$ - I_n -open in U .

Example 5.6. *In Example 3.3, then $\{2, 4\}$ is not $n\star$ -open but $semi^*$ - I_n -open.*

References

- [1] K. Bhuvaneswari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya, *Nano Generalized locally closed sets and NGLC-Continuous Functions in Nano Topological Spaces*, Int. J. Math and its Applications, 4(1-A)(2016)101-106.
- [2] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol I. Academic Press (New York) 1966.
- [3] M. Lellis Thivagar, Saeid Jafari and V. Sutha Devi, *On new class of contra continuity in nano topology*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2017, 1-10.
- [4] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, *On nano forms of weakly open sets*, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1)(2013), 31-37.
- [5] O. Nethaji, R. Asokan and I. Rajasekaran, *New generalized classes of an ideal nano topological spaces*, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 9(3)(2019), 543-552..
- [6] M. Parimala, T. Noiri and S. Jafari, *New types of nano topological spaces via nano ideals* (to appear).
- [7] M. Parimala, S. Jafari and S. Murali, *Nano ideal generalized closed sets in nano ideal topological spaces*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., 60(2017), 3-11.
- [8] M. Parimala and S. Jafari, *On some new notions in nano ideal topological spaces*, International Balkan Journal of Mathematics (IBJM), 1(3)(2018), 85-92.
- [9] Z. Pawlak, *Rough sets*, International journal of computer and Information Sciences, 11(5)(1982), 341-356.
- [10] I. Rajasekaran and O. Nethaji, *Simple forms of nano open sets in an ideal nano topological spaces*, Journal of New Theory, 24 (2018), 35-43.
- [11] I. Rajasekaran, O. Nethaji and R. Premkumar, *Perception of several ideal nano topological spaces*, Journal of New Theory, 23 (2018), 78-84.
- [12] P. Sathishmohan, V. Rajendran, C. Vignesh Kumar and P. K. Dhanasekaran, *On nano semi pre neighbourhoods in nano topological spaces*, Malaya Journal of Matematik, 6(1)(2018), 294-298.
- [13] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *The localization theory in set topology*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 20(1945), 51-61.
- [14] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *Set topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1946.