



## Nano generalized closed sets depending on ideal

I. Rajasekaran<sup>1\*</sup>, O. Nethaji<sup>2</sup>, R. Asokan<sup>3</sup> and R. Premkumar<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tirunelveli Dakshina Mara Nadar Sangam College, T. Kallikulam - 627 113, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India. Orchid iD: [0000-0001-8528-4396](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8528-4396)

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, School of Mathematics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India. Orchid iD: [0000-0002-2004-3521](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2004-3521)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India. Orchid iD: [0000-0002-0992-1426](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0992-1426)

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Senthamarai College of Arts and Science, Vadapalanji-21, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India. Orchid iD: [0000-0002-8088-5114](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8088-5114)

---

Received: 05 Aug 2019

Accepted: 05 Dec 2019

Published Online: 31 Dec 2019

---

**Abstract:** In this paper, we made an attempt to notions of  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed sets. Also we characterize the relations between them and the related properties.

**Key words:**  $n$ -open,  $n$ -closed, Ideal and  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed

### 1. Introduction

During this paper, R. Vaidyanathaswamy [5, 6] and K. Kuratowski [2] Independly introduced the concept an ideals. Z. Pawlak [4] introduced lower approximation, upper approximation and boundary are investigated and M. Lellis Thivagar et al. [3] introduced the notions of weaker forms of nanotopological spaces and K. Bhuvaneshwari et al [1] introduced the nano generalized closed sets.

In this paper, we made an attempt to notions of  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed sets. Also we characterize the relations between them and the related properties.

In this article use the notions, " $C_n$ " denotes the nano closure operator and " $I_n$ " denotes the nano interior operator.

### 2. Nano $g$ -closed sets depending on ideal

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(U, \mathcal{N})$  be a space and  $I$  be an ideal on  $U$ . A subset  $D$  of  $U$  is called

1. nano  $g$ -closed sets depending on ideal (written in short as  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed)  $\iff C_n(D) \cap \mathcal{K} \in I$  (where  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the complement), when  $D \subseteq K$  and  $K$  is  $n$ -open.
2. nano  $g$ -open sets depending on ideal (written in short as  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -open)  $\iff U - D$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(U, \mathcal{N})$  be a space. Then the conditions are equivalent.

1.  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ ,
2.  $S \subseteq C_n(D) - D$  and  $S$  is  $n$ -closed in  $U$  implies  $S \in I$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose by (1). Let  $S \subseteq C_n(D) - D$ . Suppose  $S$  is  $n$ -closed. Then  $D \subseteq U - S$ . By our assumption,  $C_n(D) - (U - S) \in I$ . But  $S \subseteq C_n(D) - (U - S)$  and therefore  $S \in I$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Assume that by (2). Suppose  $D \subseteq J$  &  $J$  is  $n$ -open. Then  $C_n(D) - J = C_n(D) \cap (U - J)$  is a  $n$ -closed set in  $U \subseteq C_n(D) - D$ .

By hypothesis,  $C_n(D) - J \in I \implies D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed.

**Remark 2.1.** Each  $ng$ -closed set is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed but not converse as shown in the next Example.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{m_2\}, \{m_1, m_3\}\}$  and  $X = \{m_2\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, U, \{m_2\}\}$ . Let the ideal be  $I = \{\phi, \{m_1\}, \{m_3\}, \{m_1, m_3\}\}$ , then  $A = \{m_2\}$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed need not to be  $ng$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $D$  and  $K$  are  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed sets of  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ , then their union  $D \cup K$  is also  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose  $D$  and  $K$  are  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed sets in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ . If  $D \cup K \subseteq J$  and  $J$  is  $n$ -open, then  $D \subseteq J$  and  $K \subseteq J$ . By assumption,  $C_n(D) - J \in I$  and  $C_n(K) - J \in I$  and therefore  $C_n(D \cup K) - J = (C_n(D) - J) \cup (C_n(K) - J) \in I$ . That is  $D \cup K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed.

**Remark 2.2.** If  $D$  and  $J$  are  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed sets,  $D \cap J$  is not  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed as shown by the next Example.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $U = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  with  $U/R = \{\{a_2\}, \{a_1, a_3\}\}$  and  $X = \{a_2\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, U, \{a_2\}\}$ . Let the ideal be  $I = \{\phi\}$ . Then  $D = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $K = \{a_2, a_3\}$  are  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed set. But  $D \cap K = \{a_2\}$  is not  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed and  $D \subseteq K \subseteq C_n(D)$  in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ , then  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed and  $D \subseteq K \subseteq C_n(D)$  in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ . Suppose  $K \subseteq J$  and  $J$  is  $n$ -open. Then  $D \subseteq J$ . Since  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed, we've  $C_n(D) - J \in I$ .

At the present  $K \subseteq C_n(D)$ . This implies that  $C_n(K) - J \subseteq C_n(D) - J \in I$ . therefore  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $D \subseteq V \subseteq U$  and suppose that  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ . Then  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed relative to the subspace  $V$  of  $U$ , depending on the ideal  $I_V = \{S \subseteq V : S \in I\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $D \subseteq J \cap V$  and  $J$  is  $n$ -open in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ , then  $D \subseteq J$ . Since  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ , we've  $C_n(D) - J \in I$ . Now  $(C_n(D) \cap V) - (J \cap V) = (C_n(D) - J) \cap V \in I$ , whenever  $D \subseteq J \cap V$  and  $J$  is  $n$ -open. therefore  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed relative to the subspace  $V$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $D$  be  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed set and  $S$  be a  $n$ -closed, then  $D \cap S$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed set in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D \cap S \subseteq J$  and  $J$  is  $n$ -open. Then  $D \subseteq J \cup (U - S)$ . Since  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed, we have  $C_n(D) - (J \cup (U - S)) \in I$ . Now,  $C_n(D \cap S) \subseteq C_n(D) \cap S = (C_n(D) \cap S) - (U - S)$ . Therefore,  $C_n(D \cap S) - J \subseteq (C_n(D) \cap S) - (J \cup (U - S)) \subseteq C_n(D) - (J \cup (U - S)) \in I$ . therefore  $D \cap S$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(U, \mathcal{N})$  be a space. Then the next conditions are equivalent.*

1.  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ ,
2.  $S - F \subseteq I_n(D)$ , in favor of several  $J \in I$ , whenever  $S \subseteq D$  and  $S$  is  $n$ -closed.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose by (1). Suppose  $S \subseteq D$  and  $S$  is  $n$ -closed. We have  $U - H \subseteq U - S$ . By assumption,  $C_n(U - D) \subseteq (U - S) \cup J$ , in favor of several  $J \in I$ . This implies  $U - ((U - S) \cup F) \subseteq U - (C_n(U - D))$  and therefore  $S - J \subseteq I_n(D)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Assume that  $S \subseteq D$  and  $S$  is  $n$ -closed. Then  $S - J \subseteq I_n(D)$ , in favor of several  $J \in I$ . Consider a  $n$ -open set  $P$  such that  $U - H \subseteq P$ . Then  $U - P \subseteq D$ . By assumption,  $(U - P) - J \subseteq I_n(D) = U - C_n(U - D)$ . This gives that  $U - (P \cup J) \subseteq U - C_n(U - D)$ . Then,  $C_n(U - D) \subseteq P \cup J$ , in favor of several  $J \in I$ . This shows that  $C_n(U - D) - P \in I$ . therefore  $U - D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closed.

Recall that the sets  $D$  and  $K$  are said to be separated if  $C_n(D) \cap K = \phi$  and  $D \cap C_n(K) = \phi$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $D$  and  $K$  are separated  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open sets in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ , then  $D \cup K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $D$  and  $K$  are separated  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open sets in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$  and  $S$  be a  $n$ -closed subset of  $D \cup K$ . Then  $S \cap C_n(D) \subseteq D$  and  $S \cap C_n(K) \subseteq K$ . By assumption,  $(S \cap C_n(D)) - J_1 \subseteq I_n(D)$  and  $(S \cap C_n(K)) - J_2 \subseteq I_n(K)$ , in favor of several  $J_1, J_2 \in I$ . It means that  $((S \cap C_n(D)) - I_n(D)) \in I$  and  $((S \cap C_n(K)) - I_n(K)) \in I$ . Then  $((S \cap C_n(D)) - I_n(D)) \cup ((S \cap C_n(K)) - I_n(K)) \in I$ . therefore  $(S \cap (C_n(D) \cup C_n(K)) - (I_n(D) \cup I_n(K))) \in I$ . But  $S = S \cap (D \cup K) \subseteq S \cap C_n(D \cup K)$ , and we have  $S - I_n(D \cup K) \subseteq (S \cap C_n(D \cup K)) - I_n(D \cup K) \subseteq (S \cap C_n(D \cup K)) - (I_n(D) \cup I_n(K)) \in I$ . therefore,  $S - J \subseteq I_n(D \cup K)$ , in favor of several  $J \in I$ . This proves that  $D \cup K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $D$  and  $K$  be  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closed sets and suppose  $U - D$  &  $U - K$  are separated in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ . Then  $D \cap K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closed.*

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $D$  and  $K$  are  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open sets in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ , then  $D \cap K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open.*

*Proof.* If  $D$  and  $K$  are  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open, then  $U - D$  and  $U - K$  are  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closed. By Theorem 2.2,  $U - (D \cap K)$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -closed, which implies  $D \cap K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open.

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $D \subseteq K \subseteq U$ ,  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open relative to  $D$  and  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open relative to  $U$ , then  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open relative to  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $D \subseteq K \subseteq U$ ,  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open relative to  $K$  and  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open relative to  $U$ . Suppose  $S \subseteq D$  and  $S$  is  $n$ -closed. Since  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open relative to  $K$ , by theorem 2.6,  $S - J_1 \subseteq I_{n_K}(D)$ , in favor of several  $J_1 \in I$ . This implies there exists a  $n$ -open set  $U_1$  such that  $F - J_1 \subseteq P_1 \cap K \subseteq D$ , in favor of several  $J_1 \in I$ . Since  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open,  $S \subseteq K$  and  $S$  is  $n$ -closed; we have  $S - J_2 \subseteq I_n(K)$ , in favor of several  $J_2 \in I$ . This implies there exists an open set  $P_2$  such that  $S - J_2 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq K$ , in favor of several  $J_2 \in I$ . Now  $S - (J_1 \cup J_2) \subseteq (S - J_1) \cap (S - J_2) \subseteq P_1 \cap P_2 \subseteq P_1 \cap K \subseteq D$ . This implies that  $S - (J_1 \cup J_2) \subseteq I_n(D)$ , in favor of several  $J_1 \cup J_2 \in I$  and therefore  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open relative to  $U$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $I_n(D) \subseteq K \subseteq D$  and if  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open in  $(U, \mathcal{N})$ , then  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -open in  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $I_n(D) \subseteq K \subseteq D$  and  $D$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -open. Then  $U - H \subseteq U - K \subseteq C_n(U - D)$  and  $U - D$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed. By Theorem 2.3,  $U - K$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed and therefore  $K$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -open.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $(U, \mathcal{N})$  be a space, A set  $D$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed in  $U \iff C_n(D) - D$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -open in  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity: Suppose  $S \subseteq C_n(D) - D$  and  $S$  be  $n$ -closed. Then  $S \in I$ . This implies that  $S - J = \phi$ , in favor of several  $J \in I$ . Clearly,  $S - J \subseteq I_n(C_n(D) - D)$ . By Theorem 2.6,  $C_n(D) - D$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -open.

Sufficiency: Suppose  $D \subseteq P$  and  $P$  is  $n$ -open. Then  $C_n(D) \cap (U - P) \subseteq C_n(D) \cap (U - D) = C_n(D) - D$ . By hypothesis and  $(C_n(D) \cap (U - P)) - J \subseteq I_n(C_n(D) - D) = \phi$ , in favor of several  $J \in I$ . This implies that  $C_n(D) \cap (U - P) \subseteq F \in I$  and therefore  $C_n(D) - P \in I$ . Thus,  $D$  is  $n\mathbb{I}_g$ -closed.

### References

- [1] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya, *Nano Generalised closed sets*, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 4(5)(2014), 1-3.
- [2] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol I. Academic Press (New York) 1966.
- [3] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, *On nano forms of weakly open sets*, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention,1(1)(2013), 31-37.
- [4] Z. Pawlak, *Rough sets*, International journal of computer and Information Sciences, 11(5)(1982), 341-356.
- [5] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *The localization theory in set topology*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 20(1945), 51-61.
- [6] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *Set topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1946.